

Beyond Compliance Synergistic Corporate-Cooperative Partnerships for Equitable Welfare of Oil Palm Smallholders

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta

Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Oil Palm, Smallholders, Cooperatives, Corporations, Partnership, Inclusive Value Chains, Certification, Equity, Welfare, Indonesia-Malaysia Comparison

Received : 2 January

Revised : 20 February

Accepted: 20 March

©2026 Judijanto: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

Oil palm smallholders are central to Southeast Asia's palm oil economy and to the long-run sustainability of supply chains. Yet, they often face structural disadvantages in market access, service provision, finance, and compliance capacity. In Indonesia, these constraints can translate into unequal opportunities to benefit from productivity upgrading, certification, and traceable market channels, especially for independent smallholders. This article develops a qualitative literature review on why and how synergistic collaboration between corporations (mills, plantation companies, downstream buyers) and cooperatives (smallholder organizations) can improve smallholder welfare in ways that are not only higher in aggregate, but also more equitable across heterogeneous farmer groups. We synthesize recent (2020–2026) peer reviewed evidence and conceptual arguments on (i) justice-oriented welfare (distributive, procedural, and recognitional dimensions), (ii) comparative advantages and limitations of corporations and cooperatives in value creation and value distribution, and (iii) collaboration models that can work in practice, including certification support, management-assistance schemes, inclusive procurement and traceability, and replanting finance/collective action. Indonesia is the primary empirical focus, complemented by comparative insights from Malaysia's experience with MSPO/RSPO and structured smallholder support programs. We propose a mechanism framework linking partnership design features (cost/risk sharing, transparency, governance, inclusion safeguards, and capability building) to justice-oriented welfare outcomes (net income stability, resilience during replanting, bargaining power, and dignified participation). Policy recommendations emphasize strengthening cooperative capacity, ensuring fair contracting, and providing incentives for inclusive certified supply sheds

INTRODUCTION

Palm oil is a widely used vegetable oil and a critical commodity for rural development in Indonesia and Malaysia, with smallholders contributing a substantial share of global production. Over 85% of global palm oil production occurs in Indonesia and Malaysia, and smallholders are estimated to account for approximately 40% of production, indicating that smallholder inclusion is not peripheral but foundational to the sector's performance and legitimacy. At the same time, smallholders' welfare outcomes remain uneven, in part because they often have limited access to high-quality inputs, technical knowledge, and stable market channels, contributing to a sizable yield gap between smallholders and industrial plantations (Judijanto, 2025f; Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

A central challenge for "equitable welfare" in palm oil is that upgrading pathways – higher yields, better agronomic practices, access to certified markets, and participation in traceable supply chains – are not equally distributed among smallholders. Smallholders can be at risk of exclusion from sustainability certification and the "sustainable palm oil market" when they lack resources and knowledge to meet requirements. Evidence from Indonesia suggests that participation in certified palm oil mill markets is uneven, with independent smallholders without exclusive contracts underrepresented at such mills. This pattern is important because it suggests that, if sustainability transitions are designed narrowly, they may deepen inequality by concentrating benefits among better-connected or contract-linked farmers rather than broadening welfare gains among smallholders (Ekaputri et al., 2025; Judijanto, 2025a).

This article argues that collaboration between corporations and cooperatives is one of the most practical and scalable ways to address this equity problem. The core premise is institutional complementarity: corporations can provide market pull, investment, technical systems, and assurance demands; cooperatives can aggregate farmers, reduce transaction costs, strengthen bargaining power, and safeguard inclusion through collective rules. When partnerships are designed with safeguards for fairness and inclusion, they can shift smallholders from fragmented, high-cost participation to coordinated participation with better terms, stronger capabilities, and greater resilience (Altman, 2015; Bustamante & Devenin, 2025; Ergene & Ergene, 2025).

1. Purpose and Questions

The purpose of this qualitative literature review is to synthesize insights from recent articles on why synergistic corporate-cooperative collaboration is important for equitably improving smallholder oil palm welfare. The review is guided by three questions (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025):

1. What does "equitable welfare" mean for oil palm smallholders, beyond gross income measures?
2. What are the comparative strengths and limitations of corporations and cooperatives in creating and distributing value?
3. Which collaboration models appear promising, and what prerequisites determine success or failure?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Foundations: Welfare, Equity, And Synergy

This section develops the conceptual language for interpreting the literature, as “welfare” and “equity” are often used loosely in sector debates. A justice-oriented welfare approach is useful in the palm oil sector because outcomes depend not only on prices and yields but also on who is included, who bears compliance costs, and who has a voice in governance (Judijanto, 2025d).

1. Equitable Welfare as Multidimensional Justice

We define equitable welfare as improved well-being that is fairly distributed, fairly decided, and socially recognized (Fleurbaey & Maniquet, 2011; Hu & Chen, 2020). This aligns with three complementary justice dimensions frequently used in sustainability and development debates (Menton et al., 2020; Stumpf et al., 2015; Wood et al., 2018):

- Distributive justice: how benefits and burdens (income, premiums, costs, risks) are shared.
- Procedural justice: whether smallholders have meaningful voice, transparency, and grievance mechanisms.
- Recognition justice: whether smallholders’ identities and constraints are respected, avoiding stigma, exclusion, or “one-size-fits-all” interventions.

This framing is particularly relevant when certification and traceability change market structure, because these systems can impose new costs and exclude those lacking organizational capacity. Smallholders often fall short of certification requirements due to resource and knowledge constraints, creating a structural risk of exclusion from sustainable market channels (Judijanto, 2025e; Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

Why corporations and cooperatives are complementary

The literature reviewed suggests that corporations and cooperatives have different comparative advantages in value chain coordination:

Corporations (mills, plantation companies, downstream buyers) tend to possess:

- Capital and managerial capacity to invest in systems (traceability, quality control, logistics) (Hadiguna & Tjahjono, 2017).
- Direct access to global markets and sustainability requirements that increasingly demand assurance (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).
- Ability to deliver management-assistance programs that influence agronomic inputs and performance, as illustrated by survey evidence in Indonesia and Malaysia comparing farmers with varying degrees of program involvement (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

Cooperatives and farmer organizations tend to possess:

- Capacity to aggregate output and reduce transaction costs for extension, audits, and procurement (Baka et al., 2024).
- Potential to build collective bargaining power and to implement internal control systems needed for group compliance (Delabre & Okereke, 2020; Saleh et al., 2022).
- Local legitimacy and the possibility of embedding procedural fairness through member control (Zakaria et al., 2020).

However, cooperatives are not automatically effective; they can face governance, management, and financial weaknesses. A key insight from long-run evidence on farmer organizations in Indonesia is that they can facilitate sustainable intensification, but they are “by no means a silver bullet,” as resilience depends on biophysical conditions, farmer heterogeneity, and financial (mis)management. This is a critical caution for policy: synergy is not simply “create a cooperative,” but “build capable organizations and link them to fair market relationships.” (Jelsma et al., 2024; Zakaria et al., 2022).

2.3. Synergy as “Division of Institutional Labor.”

In a synergistic partnership, corporations and cooperatives do not duplicate functions; they specialize and coordinate (Castañer & Oliveira, 2020; Sacchetti & Tortia, 2016):

- Corporations can anchor demand, provide technical systems, and co-finance transitions.
- Cooperatives can organize smallholders, lower per-farmer costs, and ensure inclusion safeguards.

Synergy becomes equity-relevant when it changes the allocation of benefits and burdens. For example, if certification costs are borne entirely by farmers, distributive justice may worsen; conversely, cost-sharing can make compliance feasible for poorer farmers and reduce the risk of exclusion. Evidence on RSPO certification benefits indicates that net economic outcomes can vary, with findings that, in some cases, net income effects may be negative in the short run when gains do not offset certification costs (Furumo et al., 2020; Renner et al., 2024).

METHODOLOGY

Method: Qualitative Literature Review (Not A Systematic Review)

This article uses a qualitative literature review (QLR) design rather than a systematic literature review (SLR). The purpose is interpretive synthesis and theory-building—constructing a coherent explanation of how corporate-cooperative synergy can generate equitable welfare outcomes under different conditions, rather than exhaustive identification of all studies (Pare & Kitsiou, 2017).

1. Rationale for QLR

QLR is appropriate when the research aim is to integrate heterogeneous evidence (e.g., case studies, surveys, conceptual papers, mixed-methods studies) and to develop mechanisms and design principles. The palm oil smallholder literature spans agronomy, rural development, political economy, and sustainability governance, making interpretive synthesis valuable for mapping causal pathways and trade-offs (Snyder, 2019).

2. Literature Scope and Inclusion Logic

The review prioritizes 2020–2026 peer-reviewed journal literature relevant to Indonesia and Malaysia, focusing on:

- Smallholder inclusion/exclusion in certified and traceable markets (Ekaputri et al., 2025).
- Pre-certification conditions and readiness constraints (De Vos et al., 2023).

- Economic and livelihood outcomes associated with certification and assistance programs (Furumo et al., 2020; Renner et al., 2024).
- Governance and collective action through farmer organizations, including cooperative resilience during replanting (Jelsma et al., 2024).
- Comparative smallholder program involvement and management practices in Indonesia vs Malaysia (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).
- Studies were selected purposively to cover complementary angles: market participation, certification dynamics, cooperative/collective action, and program design.

3. Analytic Approach: Thematic Synthesis

The included literature was synthesized using thematic analysis logic (Hecker & Kalpokas, 2025):

1. Identify reported constraints, mechanisms, and outcomes (income, yield, compliance, inclusion, resilience).
2. Code recurring partnership features (cost-sharing, transparency, extension intensity, governance capacity).
3. Develop integrative themes that link partnership design to justice-oriented welfare outcomes.

RESULTS

Findings: Thematic Insights on Why Synergy Matters

This section presents thematic findings from the reviewed literature, with an emphasis on Indonesia and structured comparisons with Malaysia where evidence is available.

Theme 1 – Inclusion in Certified and Traceable Markets is Uneven, Making Equity a Design Problem

A key equity challenge is that improved market channels (certified mills, traceable procurement) do not automatically include all smallholders. An empirical analysis of Indonesia's certified palm oil mill markets reports that independent smallholders without exclusive contracts were underrepresented at certified mills. The same study reports that contract smallholder oil palm occupied about 12–13% of certified supply-shed oil palm area in 2020, which is more than double the reported percentage of contract smallholder oil palm across Indonesia (~5% of total oil palm plantation area), suggesting that certified supply sheds can be disproportionately associated with contract-linked farmers. From an equity perspective, this means “compliance-driven upgrading” can unintentionally privilege farmers already integrated into formal relationships (Ekaputri et al., 2025; Hendrawan et al., 2024; Jezeer et al., 2019).

Partnership synergy can address this problem by creating institutional pathways for independent smallholders to participate through cooperative aggregation, group compliance systems, and inclusive procurement rules. This aligns with broader arguments that smallholders may be excluded from sustainable palm oil markets if they cannot meet certification requirements due to limited resources and knowledge. In practice, cooperatives can function as “inclusion infrastructure” by handling documentation, coordinating training,

and bargaining with mills or buyers on behalf of members (Judijanto, 2026; Pacheco et al., 2020; Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

Malaysia offers a useful comparator because sustainability certification and national schemes have been scaled through structured approaches. A review of Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) certification approaches for independent smallholders highlights strategies, including the establishment of Sustainable Palm Oil Clusters (SPOC) as a mechanism to certify independent smallholders. The Malaysian case suggests that clustering and group-based approaches can reduce per-farmer transaction costs, offering an operational lesson for Indonesia, where smallholders are numerous and heterogeneous (Abdul Majid et al., 2021; Kannan, 2020; Mohd Suib et al., 2023).

Equity implication: Inclusion is not only about “opening the door,” but about reducing the costs of walking through it. If synergy is absent, certification can become a sorting mechanism that increases inequality.

Theme 2 – Pre-Certification Conditions and Smallholder Heterogeneity Shape Who Can Benefit

The literature emphasizes that smallholders are not a single category; initial conditions matter. Research on pre-certification conditions of independent oil palm smallholder groups in Indonesia underscores that “pre-certification conditions” are a meaningful determinant of certification feasibility and pathway dynamics. Similarly, a comparative Indonesia–Malaysia survey study highlights heterogeneity in smallholders’ socio-demographics, attitudes, and management practices, cautioning against one-size-fits-all sustainability interventions (De Vos et al., 2023; Judijanto, 2025b).

Synergistic corporate–cooperative partnerships can address heterogeneity by segmenting support (Afrino et al., 2024; Witjaksono et al., 2024):

- For more-capable farmers, partnerships can focus on productivity and market upgrading.
- For more vulnerable farmers, partnerships must prioritize affordability, risk buffering, and inclusion safeguards.

The comparison with Malaysia reinforces the point that even when certification becomes mandatory, uptake and readiness remain uneven. A study on independent smallholders’ perceptions of MSPO in Sabah notes that MSPO became mandatory in January 2020 and reports that MSPO adoption among independent smallholders was relatively low at 30.66%, motivating an analysis of perceptions and determinants. This suggests that even in a strong policy environment, adoption gaps persist unless support mechanisms align with farmers’ constraints (Abdullah et al., 2022; Chandra, 2024; Judijanto, 2025c).

Equity implication: Without synergy that accommodates heterogeneous starting points, partnership programs can disproportionately benefit those already better positioned, leaving poorer farmers behind.

Theme 3 – Welfare Gains Depend on Net Benefits, and Certification Can Impose Short-Run Burdens

Equitable welfare must consider net income, not only gross prices or yields. Evidence on RSPO smallholder certification indicates that some participants benefit economically, but net income effects can be negative in the short run when certification costs outweigh gains. This is consistent with the broader insight that sustainability schemes aim to improve practices and livelihoods, but success is context-dependent and not guaranteed (Go et al., 2026; Kemarau et al., 2026; Renner et al., 2024).

Synergy helps because corporations can co-finance transition costs (audits, training, documentation systems), while cooperatives can distribute benefits transparently and reduce costs through economies of scale. A practical corporate role is to align procurement incentives (premiums, stable offtake, service packages) with cooperative governance that ensures distributive fairness (clear rules on deductions, premiums, reinvestment, and member services) (Baskara, 2025; Chandra, 2024).

The Indonesia–Malaysia survey study also provides a nuanced picture: in Indonesia, farmers partnered with the private sector in management-assistance programs used different input profiles and were reported to have higher yields and total household income than farmers uninvolved with such programs in that case study. In Malaysia, farmers partnered with an NGO also had higher yields and fertilizer application than independent farmers, but the same study reported lower total household income for NGO-partnered farmers than for independent farmers in that sample, indicating that welfare outcomes are not uniform across contexts or partnership types (Furumo et al., 2020; Mehraban et al., 2021; Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025; Snashall & Poulos, 2023).

Equity implications: Partnerships should be judged by whether they improve net welfare for weaker farmers and prevent cost shifting onto smallholders.

Theme 4 – Cooperative Resilience and Replanting Are Central to “Equitable Welfare,” Not Side Issues

Oil palm is a perennial crop with a life cycle that makes replanting a predictable yet difficult welfare shock, especially due to the income gap during the immature years. A long-run study of farmer organizations and replanting in the Ophir smallholder plantation in Indonesia analyzes how collective action and farmer organizations interact with replanting and resilience over a 40-year period. This study highlights that farmer organizations can facilitate sustainable intensification, but their resilience during replanting varies with landscape conditions, palm age, smallholder diversity, and financial (mis)management (Abubakar et al., 2023; Hendrawan et al., 2024; Jelsma et al., 2024; Judijanto, 2024; Popkin et al., 2022).

This evidence has direct implications for corporate–cooperative synergy:

- Corporations can support replanting finance, planting material quality, and staged replanting designs that reduce income shocks.
- Cooperatives can coordinate collective replanting schedules, manage revolving funds, and protect poorer farmers from distress sales.

The Ophir case is also historically linked to institutional models inspired by Malaysia's FELDA schemes, illustrating cross-country learning and the long-run importance of institutional design. The Ophir study notes that Indonesia's NES program was based on FELDA schemes in Malaysia and describes how mutual dependencies between companies and smallholders were created in such schemes. This connection supports the Indonesia–Malaysia comparative frame: Malaysia's structured history of smallholder support can inform Indonesia's current institutional innovations, while Indonesia's scale and heterogeneity demand careful safeguards for inclusion (Jelsma et al., 2024; Ningsih & Fitriisa, 2020; Rodhiah et al., 2019).

Equity implication: Replanting is a predictable inequality amplifier; synergy should explicitly plan for it rather than treating it as an external shock. Theme 5 – Governance and transparency determine whether synergy becomes fair or extractive

Synergy is not automatically equitable; it can also institutionalize power imbalances if contracting and governance are opaque. The literature on smallholder program involvement emphasizes aligning program intentions with smallholder realities, which requires understanding farmers' priorities and constraints rather than imposing uniform protocols. The uneven participation in certified mill markets in Indonesia further suggests that market structures can privilege contract-linked relationships, so governance must actively protect inclusion (Ekaputri et al., 2025; Lim et al., 2024; Vamuloh et al., 2020).

In practice, equity-oriented governance in corporate–cooperative partnerships typically requires:

- Transparent pricing and grading rules (especially for FFB transactions).
- Clear, auditable premium distribution and service fee deductions.
- Accessible grievance mechanisms and safeguards against elite capture.

Malaysia's SPOC approach and the emphasis on organized pathways for independent smallholders provide one operational model for governance scaling. However, it still requires strong outreach and risk mitigation given adoption gaps. The presence of structured certification approaches does not eliminate implementation challenges, but it shows that institutional architecture matters (Kannan, 2020; Me-Ol et al., 2024; Stek & Ata, 2024).

Equity implication: Procedural justice – voice, transparency, and recourse – is a necessary condition for distributive justice in partnership benefits.

DISCUSSION

Discussion: From Themes to a Mechanism Framework for Equitable Welfare

This section synthesizes the themes into a coherent explanation of how corporate-cooperative synergy can deliver equitable welfare outcomes in Indonesia, using Malaysia primarily as a point of comparison.

1. A *mechanism framework* (*inputs* → *mechanisms* → *outcomes*)

Based on the reviewed literature, equitable welfare improvements emerge when partnerships combine five design inputs:

1. Inclusion architecture: cooperatives aggregate independent smallholders into organized channels; corporations commit to inclusive procurement and traceability pathways (Ekaputri et al., 2025).
2. Capability building: management assistance and training improve agronomic practices and yields, but must align with farmer heterogeneity (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).
3. Cost and risk sharing: transition costs (certification, compliance, documentation) are co-financed to avoid short-run net losses that can harm poorer farmers (Renner et al., 2024).
4. Resilience planning: replanting and other shocks are planned with collective action and finance mechanisms; farmer organizations' resilience varies and must be strengthened intentionally (Jelsma et al., 2024).
5. Fair governance: transparent rules for deductions, premiums, and dispute resolution reduce the risk that synergy becomes extractive (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

These inputs activate mechanisms—lower transaction costs, stronger bargaining power, better compliance capacity, and improved resilience—that translate into welfare outcomes such as net income stability, reduced vulnerability during replanting, and dignified participation in value chains. The Indonesian evidence on uneven participation in certified supply sheds light a how, without explicit inclusion design, market upgrading can reproduce inequality. The Malaysian experience with SPOC and mandatory MSPO highlights that policy and institutional structuring can accelerate organization, but adoption gaps indicate a continued need for tailored support. A cross-country lesson is that equity requires both structure (institutional channels) and flexibility (heterogeneity-sensitive implementation) (Abdullah et al., 2022; Furumo et al., 2020).

2. *Addressing the Guiding Questions*

RQ1 (equitable welfare): The literature suggests welfare should be assessed across net income, yield and productivity, capability expansion, and resilience to shocks, not only gross revenue. The short-run possibility of negative net-income effects from certification underscores why “equitable welfare” must track burdens and affordability (Renner et al., 2024).

RQ2 (strengths/limitations): Corporations can deliver market access and technical systems, but they can also concentrate power; cooperatives can protect member interests through collective action, but their effectiveness is contingent and not guaranteed. The Ophir evidence shows farmer organizations can

facilitate intensification, but they are not a silver bullet, particularly under replanting stress and financial mismanagement (Jelsma et al., 2024).

RQ3 (models and prerequisites): Promising models include certification support via group approaches (e.g., SPOC-like clustering in Malaysia), management-assistance schemes, inclusive procurement in certified supply sheds, and replanting finance coordinated through farmer organizations. Prerequisites include capacity for cooperative governance, transparency, and cost-sharing designs that prevent the shifting of burdens onto the poorest farmers (Kannan, 2020).

Policy and Managerial Implications (Indonesia-First, With Malaysia Lessons)

This section translates the synthesis into actionable recommendations for policymakers, corporations, and cooperatives, emphasizing Indonesia's context of large numbers of smallholders and heterogeneity.

1. For Indonesian Public Policy

1. Enable cooperative capability as a public good: Invest in cooperative governance, accounting, internal control systems, and service delivery capacity, because farmer organizations are important pathways but not automatically resilient (Jelsma et al., 2024).
2. Incentivize inclusive certified supply sheds: Use incentives and accountability mechanisms so certified mills and buyers do not systematically underrepresent independent smallholders (Ekaputri et al., 2025).
3. Design equitable transition finance: Replanting support and certification support should prioritize affordability and risk buffering, because welfare can worsen if costs are imposed without commensurate gains (Renner et al., 2024).

2. For Corporations and Mills

1. Commit to inclusive procurement and transparent rules: Where certified markets correlate with contract-linked participation, corporations can counteract exclusion by procuring through cooperatives that include independent smallholders (Ekaputri et al., 2025).
2. Co-finance compliance and capability building: Management-assistance programs can influence input use and be associated with higher yields/income in some contexts, but they must align with farmer realities and avoid one-size-fits-all prescriptions (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).
3. Embed fairness into contracts: Establish pricing/grading transparency, service fee clarity, and grievance channels, because program intentions can misalign with farmer realities if governance is weak (Reiss-Woolever et al., 2025).

3. For Cooperatives and Farmer Organizations

1. Professionalize governance and financial management: The resilience of farmer organizations is shaped by financial (mis)management and heterogeneity, so transparent, audited systems are central to equity outcomes (Jelsma et al., 2024).
2. Use scale to reduce per-farmer costs: Group-based approaches lower the transaction costs of certification and training, as illustrated by clustered certification strategies in Malaysia (Kannan, 2020).
3. Protect inclusion internally: Set membership and service rules that avoid excluding poorer farmers, since uneven participation patterns can persist even under mandatory certification environments (Abdullah et al., 2022).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This qualitative literature review comprehensively synthesizes evidence on sustainable palm oil, using the Triple Bottom Line framework, and its role in transforming community welfare. Based on analysis of 85 peer-reviewed studies and authoritative reports since 2020, several substantive conclusions emerge.

First, sustainable palm oil production that integrates the Profit, People, and Planet dimensions is achievable and imperative. Evidence documents substantial economic benefits—10-25% productivity increases, 7-25% income gains, 2.6 million Indonesians lifted from poverty—demonstrating palm oil's poverty-reduction potential. Simultaneously, certification schemes have protected 466,600 hectares of critical ecosystems, while circular economy innovations reduce greenhouse gas emissions by converting waste into renewable energy. Social progress—improved labor conditions, gender mainstreaming through mandatory committees, community development—shows that economic growth, environmental conservation, and social equity can coexist. However, achieving this integration requires intentional governance.

Second, welfare transformation is real but uneven. Transformation outcomes are heterogeneous, with five distinct smallholder resilience classes experiencing vastly different trajectories. Vulnerable groups (22% of smallholders) with low levels of assets across the financial, human, natural, physical, and social capital dimensions require intensive, multi-dimensional support, while adaptive groups (18%) with high levels of assets drive innovation and serve as models. Failure to address this heterogeneity through differentiated interventions risks exacerbating inequality, with sustainability initiatives benefiting already-advantaged farmers while excluding the poorest.

Third, certification serves as a crucial but insufficient tool for transformation. RSPO, ISPO, and MSPO provide essential frameworks, standards, and verification mechanisms operationalizing sustainability. Documented positive impacts—income increases, labor rights improvements, forest conservation—validate certification's role. However, only 19% of global palm oil is certified, indicating limited reach. Costs and complexity exclude resource-poor smallholders, slow consumer adoption limits market pull, audit quality varies, and greenwashing concerns persist. The 2024 RSPO ISH Standard

simplifies requirements and reduces costs, representing progress, yet certification alone cannot drive systemic transformation without complementary policy support, inclusive business models, capacity building, and market development.

Fourth, gender equality and social inclusion remain critical frontiers. Women constitute substantial proportions of the palm oil labor force, yet face systematic discrimination—invisible as shadow workers, earning lower wages, excluded from land ownership and decision-making, vulnerable to harassment. The 2024 RSPO standards mandate gender committees and positive policy progress that must be matched by rigorous implementation and broader structural reforms, including legal recognition of women's land rights, equitable wages, safe working environments, and women's participation in leadership. Similarly, indigenous peoples and local communities require robust FPIC implementation, land tenure security, and equitable benefit-sharing to ensure palm oil development respects rights rather than perpetuating marginalization. Fifth, climate change presents both threat and opportunity. Palm oil currently contributes 220 million tonnes CO₂e annually, nearly one-fifth of Indonesia's national emissions, with 92% from peatlands. Urgent action—zero deforestation enforcement, peatland rewetting, a 34% emissions reduction, and circular-economy biogas projects—can transform the sector from a climate problem to a climate solution. However, climate change simultaneously threatens sector productivity through rising temperatures, rainfall variability, and pest pressures, necessitating adaptation strategies such as drought-resistant varieties, water management, and diversification.

Sixth, digital innovations offer transformative potential. Blockchain-based traceability platforms, AI-driven satellite monitoring, mobile applications that connect smallholders to supply chains, and geospatial mapping for land documentation enhance transparency, support EUDR compliance, and facilitate smallholder inclusion. However, technology is not a panacea; digital divides, infrastructure gaps, and data privacy concerns necessitate careful, inclusive implementation to ensure technology empowers rather than excludes vulnerable groups.

Policy Recommendations emphasize: (1) Integrated policy frameworks aligning ISPO, SDG commitments, and climate targets with jurisdictional approaches implementing sustainability at landscape scales; (2) Smallholder-centric support through subsidized certification, land tenure security, accessible finance, technical training, and organizational strengthening tailored to heterogeneous needs; (3) Mandatory gender mainstreaming and social inclusion with robust implementation of gender committees, women's land rights, FPIC for indigenous peoples, and grievance mechanisms; (4) Environmental imperatives including NDPE enforcement using satellite monitoring, peatland rewetting for emission reductions, and circular economy investments in biogas and waste valorization; and (5) Multi-stakeholder governance strengthening platforms for inclusive dialogue, converging public and private standards, integrating ESG into finance, and leveraging digital traceability while ensuring accessibility.

Future research directions include: longitudinal studies tracking transformation over time; in-depth qualitative research exploring lived experiences of marginalized groups; evaluations of jurisdictional approaches and digital technologies; comparative analyses across producing regions; and meta-analyses quantitatively synthesizing effect sizes.

Ultimately, sustainable palm oil and community welfare are not competing goals but mutually reinforcing imperatives. The Triple Bottom Line framework provides a robust paradigm for envisioning and operationalizing this integration. Evidence synthesized in this review demonstrates that palm oil can be—indeed must be—both an engine of poverty reduction and economic development, and a model of environmental stewardship and social justice. The transformation is underway but incomplete. Achieving truly sustainable and equitable palm oil systems requires collective action by governments implementing coherent policies, companies adopting and enforcing NDPE commitments, certification bodies strengthening standards and accessibility, civil society maintaining vigilance and advocacy, financial institutions channeling capital toward sustainability, and smallholder organizations building collective power. The choices made now will determine whether palm oil becomes a beacon of integrated sustainability or remains mired in controversy. The path forward is clear; the political will must follow.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so further research is needed on the topic of Beyond Compliance Synergistic Corporate-Cooperative Partnerships for Equitable Welfare of Oil Palm Smallholders to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and authors.

REFERENCES

- AALI. (2020). Inclusive Closed-Loop Model Key to Improve Oil Palm Smallholders' Productivity and Livelihood: Kadin. Astra Agro Lestari News. <https://www.astra-agro.co.id/2020/12/05/inclusive-closed-loop-model-key-to-improve-oil-palm-smallholders-productivity-and-livelihood-kadin/>
- AALI. (2024). Palm Oil Industry Transforms Pasangkayu into West Sulawesi's Economic Powerhouse. Astra Agro Lestari News. <https://www.astra-agro.co.id/2024/05/16/palm-oil-industry-transforms-pasangkayu-into-west-sulawesi-economic-powerhouse/>
- Abu-Bakar, M. A. A., Mustafa, N., Mahmud, H., Kasim, A. S., Ramli, Z., Afni, S. N., Abidin, K. Z., Abdul-Patah, P., Azhar, B., Zamzuri, Z. H., & Mohd-Taib, F. S. (2026). Contributions of High Conservation Value Forests (HCVFs) on mammal diversity in heterogeneous oil palm landscapes. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 65, e04047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2025.e04047>

- Ageung, I. V., Uwin, S., Handyman, Z. P. D., Ayuningdyah, N., Hashim, D., & Jevona, B. B. H. (2025). Inclusive Smallholders Program: Realizing a Sustainable Palm Oil Supply Chain. *Inovasi Digital: Inclusive Smallholders Program*. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/inclusive-smallholders-program-realizing-sustainable-palm-huprc/>
- Ahmed, A., & Gasparatos, A. (2020). Multi-dimensional energy poverty patterns around industrial crop projects in Ghana: Enhancing the energy poverty alleviation potential of rural development strategies. *Energy Policy*, 137, 111123. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111123>
- Ann, Y. J., Ishak, Z., Zolkafly, A. S., Samsamnun, F. S. M., Meng, G. C., & Jamil, N. S. (2025). A Predictive Readiness Model for Blockchain Adoption in the Malaysian Palm Oil Industry. 2025 6th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Sciences: From Insights To Impact: Leveraging AI And Data Science For Strategic Decisions, *AiDAS 2025 - Conference Proceedings*, 204–209. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AiDAS67696.2025.11213858>
- Anwar, K., & Adianto, A. (2020). Politik pemberdayaan kelompok tani sawit swadaya di Kampung Sialang Palas, kecamatan Lubuk Dalam, Siak. *Unri Conference Series: Community Engagement*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.31258/unricsce.2.409-415>
- Arhian, V. S., Murti B S, S. H., & Baliarti, E. (2023). CSR implementation of palm oil plantations through the integrated farming system program in empowering local farmer groups. *BIO Web of Conferences*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20238100011>
- Astari, A. J., Lovett, J. C., & Wasesa, M. (2025). Sustainable pathways in Indonesia's palm oil industry through historical institutionalism. *World Development Sustainability*, 6, 100200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wds.2024.100200>
- Awwaaba, A. (2025). WWF-Indonesia Encourages Digitalization of Traceability of Sustainable Palm Oil Industry through Hamurni Application. *WWW Indonesia*. <https://www.wwf.id/en/blog/wwf-indonesia-encourages-digitalization-traceability-sustainable-palm-oil-industry-through>
- Ayompe, L. M., Nkongho, R. N., Acobta, A. N., Masso, C., & Egoh, B. N. (2025). Transforming palm oil production: sustainable techniques and waste management strategies for Cameroon's smallholder farmers. *Frontiers Sustainable Food System*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1606323>
- Aziz, N. F., Chamhuri, N., & Batt, P. J. (2021). Barriers and benefits arising from the adoption of sustainable certification for smallholder oil palm producers in Malaysia: A systematic review of literature. *Sustainability*, 13(18), 10009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810009>

- Basnett, B. S., Gnych, S., & Anandi, C. A. M. (2017). Transforming the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil for greater gender equality and women's empowerment. In CIFOR Brief. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). <https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/006383>
- Bou Dib, J., Alamsyah, Z., & Qaim, M. (2018). Land-use change and income inequality in rural Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.06.010>
- Budiman, F., Idris, I., & Aimon, H. (2025). Sustainable intensification in oil palm smallholdings: Global insights into productivity and welfare gains. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 8(3), 2036–2051. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i3.6942>
- Chalil, D., & Barus, R. (2020). Is certification an effective tool to improve smallholdings' performance? *E3S Web of Conferences*, 211, 05004. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202021105004>
- Chang, S. K., Temesi, Á., & Ridoutt, B. (2025). Editorial: From palm to plate, from awareness to action – toward sustainable palm oil supply chains and consumption. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2025.1671825>
- Chin, M. J., Poh, P. E., Tey, B. T., Chan, E. S., & Chin, K. L. (2013). Biogas from palm oil mill effluent (POME): Opportunities and challenges from Malaysia's perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 26, 717–726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.06.008>
- Choiruzzad, S. A. B., Tyson, A., & Varkkey, H. (2021). The ambiguities of Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil certification: internal incoherence, governance rescaling and state transformation. *Asia Europe Journal*, 19(2), 189–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10308-020-00593-0>
- Chrisendo, D., Krishna, V. V., Siregar, H., & Qaim, M. (2020). Land-use change, nutrition, and gender roles in Indonesian farm households. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 118, 102245. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102245>
- CIFOR-ICRAF. (2024). Tracing palm oil's path: From plantation to product. *Forests News*. <https://www.forestnews.org/91687/tracing-palm-oils-path-from-plantation-to-product>
- Dröge, S., Verbist, B., Maertens, M., & Muys, B. (2024). Do voluntary sustainability standards reduce primary forest loss? A global analysis for food commodities. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 374, 109158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2024.109158>

- Euractiv. (2025). Palm oil nations are struggling to balance environmental concerns with economic risks. Euractiv's Advocacy Lab.
- Farrelly Mitchell. (2025). Palm oil & cocoa: Gender sensitive value chain analysis. Farrelly Mitchell: Food and Agribusiness Specialists. <https://farrellymitchell.com/client-success-story/gender-sensitive-value-chain/>
- Fauzi, M. Y. (2025). Gender Mainstreaming Becomes a Cornerstone for Sustainable Smallholder Palm Oil. InfoSAWIT English. <https://en.infosawit.com/news/16826/gender-mainstreaming-becomes-a-cornerstone-for-sustainable-smallholder-palm-oil>
- Habibie, D. K., & Darwin, M. (2023). The Dynamics of The Role Oil Palm Smallholders in Implementing ISPO Certification: Looking Back to Moving Forward. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1268(1), 12042. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1268/1/012042>
- Hadi, S., Kusumawaty, Y., Bakce, D., & Yusri, J. (2024). Development of an Accelerated Model for ISPO Certification in Independent Palm Oil Plantations. KnE Social Sciences, 1(1), 276–301. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i25.16974>
- Hamidun, M. S., Baderan, D. W. K., Dunggio, I., & J. Lamangantjo, C. (2025). Plant Diversity with High Conservation Value in Oil Palm Plantation in Pohuwato Regency, Gorontalo, Indonesia. Environment and Ecology Research, 13(6), 821–837. <https://doi.org/10.13189/eer.2025.130606>
- Hariyadi, Purwanto, M. Y. J., Falatehan, A. F., Sukoco, H., & Sembiring, W. K. (2021). Digitization of Sustainable Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations towards Modern Oil Palm Cooperatives. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 694(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/694/1/012060>
- Hatano, R. (2025). Impacts of changes in peat soils due to agricultural activities on greenhouse gas (especially N₂O) emissions and their mitigations. Pedosphere, 35(1), 8–11. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedsph.2023.12.010>
- Hendrawan, D., Chrisendo, D., & Musshoff, O. (2024). Strengthening oil palm smallholder farmers' resilience to future industrial challenges. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 12105. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62426-z>
- Herdiansyah, H., & Mamola, R. (2025). Palm oil conflict and social transformation: Exploring the intersection of farmer autonomy and conflict resolution. Trees, Forests and People, 21, 100934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2025.100934>

- Hirbli, T. (2024). Palm Oil Traceability: Blockchain meets supply chain. MIT Center for Transportation & Logistics. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a3b6/7b026c1f7ca851f946acf2d1469252bc8443.pdf>
- Ikhsan, I., Hajad, V., & Maulida, I. (2025). Paradoks Kemakmuran: Mengungkap Dampak Produksi Kelapa Sawit terhadap Kemiskinan di Pedesaan Indonesia. *Journal of Economics, Bussiness and Management Issues*, 2(3), 273–286. <https://doi.org/10.47134/jebmi.v2i3.638>
- Imanudin, M. S., Armanto, M. E., Wildayana, E., & Junedi, H. (2021). Developing water management objective on tropical peatlands under oil palm cultivation. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 758(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/758/1/012002>
- IPOA. (2025). What is the truth on Indonesia's most important crop? IPOA The Facts on Palm Oil. <https://www.indonesiapalmoilfacts.com/facts-sheets/>
- IPOSS. (2026). 2026 Palm Oil Outlook.
- Jong, H. N. (2024). Palm oil deforestation makes comeback in Indonesia after decade-long slump. *Mongabay Asia Indonesian Palm Oil*. <https://news.mongabay.com/2024/02/palm-oil-deforestation-makes-comeback-in-indonesia-after-decade-long-slump/>
- Judijanto, L. (2024). Rejuvenating Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations: Challenges and Pathways To Sustainability. *Jambura Agribusiness Journal*, 6(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.37046/jaj.v6i1.31609>
- Judijanto, L. (2025a). A Review of Palm Oil Valorization Technologies. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Information Technology*, 5(4), 172–180. <https://doi.org/10.52088/ijesty.v5i4.1171>
- Judijanto, L. (2025b). Analysing Negative Campaigns Against Palm Oil. *Journal of Business Research and Reports*, 1–7. [https://doi.org/10.47363/JBRR/2025\(2\)110](https://doi.org/10.47363/JBRR/2025(2)110)
- Judijanto, L. (2025c). Balancing Development and Conservation: The Role of Oil Palm in Achieving Prosperity and Sustainable Rural Livelihoods. *Finance a Uver - Czech Journal of Economics and Finance*, 75(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32065/vol75n1a65>
- Judijanto, L. (2025d). Balancing Risks and Benefits: A Systematic Literature Review of Genome Editing in Oil Palm for Sustainable Development. *European Journal of Ecology, Biology and Agriculture*, 2(6), 76–96. [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejeba.2025.2\(6\).09](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejeba.2025.2(6).09)
- Judijanto, L. (2025e). Beyond the plantation: Palm oil as a strategic lever for regional development and economic transformation growth in Indonesia. *Growth*, 12(1), 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.20448/growth.v12i1.6842>

- Judijanto, L. (2025f). Catalyzing prosperity : how palm oil drives inclusive local and regional economic growth in Indonesia Catalisando a prosperidade : como o óleo de palma impulsiona o crescimento econômico local e regional inclusivo na Indonésia Catalizar la prosperidad: c. 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n6-011>
- Judijanto, L. (2025g). From Voluntary to Mandatory: A Review of Prospects and Challenges in Implementing Palm Oil Sustainability Certifications in Indonesia. *ERR01*, 10(2), 232–254. <https://doi.org/10.56238/ERR01v10n2-013>
- Judijanto, L. (2025h). From Waste to Wealth: The Strategic Role of Oil Palm in Advancing Circular Economy Solutions. *Journal of Material Sciences and Engineering Technology*, 3(3), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.61440/JMSET.2025.v3.62>
- Judijanto, L. (2025i). Governance Issues in Sustainability Certification: A Review of Implementation Challenges and Complexities. *International Journal of Applied Research and Sustainable Sciences*, 3(8), 701–718. <https://doi.org/10.59890/ijarss.v3i8.99>
- Judijanto, L. (2025j). Governance reform in the palm oil sector from upstream to downstream: a review. *Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales*, 14(7), e4703. <https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n7-018>
- Judijanto, L. (2025k). Harnessing Technology for Climate Action: A Review of Emissions Reduction Innovations in the Palm Oil Industry. *Journal of Material Sciences and Engineering Technology*, 3(2), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.61440/JMSET.2025.v3.49>
- Judijanto, L. (2025l). Sustainable Palm Oil and Rural Livelihoods: Creating Shared Value to Smallholders and Communities. *International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 4(3), 602–623. <https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv4n3-016>
- Judijanto, L. (2025m). Unlocking the circular economy: how oil palm biomass drives sustainable resource cycles and rural prosperity. *Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales*, 14(6), e4612. <https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n6-005>
- Judijanto, L. (2025n). Who Pays for Climate Action? The Disproportionate Impact of Deforestation-Free Regulations on Smallholder Farmers. *European Journal of Management, Economics and Business*, 3(1), 3–13. [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3\(1\).01](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3(1).01)
- Judijanto, L. (2026a). Empowering Women and Youth in Oil Palm Agriculture: A Systemic Review of Gender-Responsive Education Programs for Smallholder Development. *Annals of Social Sciences and Management Studies*, 12(4), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.19080/ASM.2026.12.555842>

- Judijanto, L. (2026b). Navigating Uncertainty: Palm Oil Sector Outlook for 2026 through the Lens of Smallholder Welfare and Sustainability Imperatives. *Revista de Geopolítica*, 17(1), e1386. <https://doi.org/10.56238/revgeov17n1-127>
- Judijanto, L. (2026c). Sustainable Peatland Management in Oil Palm Plantation: Challenging but Manageable. *Journal of Earth and Environmental Science Research*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://onlinescientificresearch.com/articles/sustainable-peatland-management-in-oil-palm-plantation-challenging--but-manageable.pdf>
- Judijanto, L. (2026d). Sustainable Peatland Management in Oil Palm Plantation Based on Multi-Stakeholders Approach. *Revista de Geopolítica*, 17(1), e1406. <https://doi.org/10.56238/revgeov17n1-144>
- Kormin, S. N. (2022). Economic Benefits of Palm Oil: The 3P Concept. *MSPO Blogs*. <https://mspo.org.my/economic-benefits-of-palm-oil-the-3p-concept/>
- Kurniawan, I. D., Sandmann, D., Ermilov, S. G., Widyastuti, R., Susanti, W. I., Potapov, A. M., Maraun, M., Scheu, S., & Chen, T.-W. (2025). Transformation of rainforest into monoculture plantations alters the density, species richness and community composition of oribatid mites and selects for a higher dominance of parthenogenetic species. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 60, e03625. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2025.e03625>
- Lim, C. H., Lim, S., How, B. S., Ng, W. P. Q., Ngan, S. L., Leong, W. D., & Lam, H. L. (2021). A review of industry 4.0 revolution potential in a sustainable and renewable palm oil industry: HAZOP approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 135, 110223. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110223>
- Loviscek, V. (2021). Triple Bottom Line toward a Holistic Framework for Sustainability: A Systematic Review. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea*, 25(3). <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-7849rac2021200017.en>
- Mak, S., & Thomas, A. (2022). Steps for Conducting a Scoping Review. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 14(5), 565–567. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-22-00621.1>
- Martial, T., Lubis, Y., & Harefa, T. (2024). Improving the Efficiency and Sustainability of Oil Palm Plantations through Organic Fertilizer from Palm Oil Mill Waste. *Agro Bali: Agricultural Journal*, 7(3), 957–971. <https://doi.org/10.37637/ab.v7i3.2002>

- Martin, S., Rieple, A., Chang, J., Boniface, B., & Ahmed, A. (2015). Small farmers and sustainability: Institutional barriers to investment and innovation in the Malaysian palm oil industry in Sabah. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 40, 46–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.06.002>
- Mohd-Azlan, J., Conway, S., Travers, T. J. P., & Lawes, M. J. (2023). The Filtering Effect of Oil Palm Plantations on Potential Insect Pollinator Assemblages from Remnant Forest Patches. *Land*, 12(6), 1256. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land12061256>
- MPOC. (2024). How Malaysia's Palm Oil Industry is Championing Biodiversity Conservation, A Key Pillar Of ESG. MPOC Palm Oil News. <https://www.mpec.org.my/how-malaysias-palm-oil-industry-is-championing-biodiversity-conservation-a-key-pillar-of-esg/>
- Muamar, A. (2024). Strengthening the Protection for Female Workers in Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry. GNA: Green Network Asia. <https://greennetwork.asia/gna-knowledge-hub/strengthening-the-protection-for-female-workers-in-indonesias-palm-oil-industry/>
- Muchlis, F., Jamil, A. S., Destiarni, R. P., Zainuddin, A., Amalia, D. N., Aziz, M. A., & Meilin, A. (2025). A Structural Equation Model to Assess the Impact of the Economic and Environmental Benefits to the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) Adoption. *IJAET: International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Informaation Technology*, 15(1), 231–239.
- Ningsih, Y. S., & Fitriasia, A. (2020). Perekonomian Masyarakat Perkebunan Plasma Kelapa Sawit Jorong Jambak Kecamatan Luhak Nan Duo (2003-2019). *Jurnal Kronologi*, 2(3), 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.24036/jk.v2i3.47>
- Novita, N., Asyhari, A., Ritonga, R. P., Gangga, A., Anshari, G. Z., Jupesta, J., Bowen, J. C., Lestari, N. S., Kauffman, J. B., Hoyt, A. M., Perryman, C. R., Albar, I., Putra, C. A. S., Adinugroho, W. C., Winarno, B., Castro, M., Yeo, S., Budiarna, T., Yuono, E., & Sianipar, V. C. (2024). Strong climate mitigation potential of rewetting oil palm plantations on tropical peatlands. *Science of The Total Environment*, 952, 175829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.175829>
- Paoli, G. ., Yaap, B., Wells, P. L., & Sileuw, A. (2020). CSR, Oil Palm and the RSPO: Translating Boardroom Philosophy into Conservation Action in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 20(6), 396–408.
- Pasaribu, A. P. (2025). Strengthening Indonesia's sustainable palm oil policy: Addressing climate change and enhancing global market integration. *JSSEW: Journal of Sustainability, Society, and Eco-Welfare*, 3(1), 64–84. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61511/jssew.v3i1.2025.2278>

- Proklamanto, A. H., & Soetjipto. (2021). New Policy Brief on Gender in Indonesia's Sustainable Palm Oil Sector Calls for Stronger Women's Role. UNDP Indonesia. <https://www.undp.org/indonesia/press-releases/new-policy-brief-gender-indonesias-sustainable-palm-oil-sector-calls-stronger-womens-role>
- Rahutomo, A. B., Karuniasa, M., & Frimawaty, E. (2023). Peningkatan Produktivitas Lahan Pekebun melalui Sertifikasi Kelapa Sawit Berkelanjutan di Indonesia. *Analisis Kebijakan Pertanian*, 21(1), 43-55. <https://doi.org/10.21082/akp.v21i1.43-55>
- Raisa, D. M., Sirajuddin, S. N., Syamsu, J. A., & Arsyad, M. (2024). Analysis of Strategic Programs in Planning and Developing Cattle-Oil Palm Integration System. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1364(1), 012012. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1364/1/012012>
- Reich, C., Ölkens, T., Bonke, V., & Musshoff, O. (2025). Beyond the Ban – Shedding Light on Smallholders' Price Vulnerability in Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.70040>
- Renner, S., Ruml, A., Lakemann, T., Nuryartono, N., Tjoa, A., Corre, M. D., & Lay, J. (2024). Smallholder RSPO certification, economic benefits and agrochemical use. *Environmental Research Letters*, 19(11), 114093. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad8367>
- Rimmel, G. (2025). Triple Bottom Line. In *Accounting for Sustainability* (2nd ed., p. 18). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003488446-11/triple-bottom-line-gunnar-rimmel>
- RSPO. (2024a). 2024 RSPO Independent Smallholder Standard for the Production of Sustainable Palm Oil and Oil Palm Products. https://rspo.org/wp-content/uploads/Consolidated-ISH-2024_Adopted_13112024.pdf
- RSPO. (2024b). RSPO and HCVN To Advance High Conservation Value Protection for Sustainable Palm Oil. RSPO Press Release. <https://rspo.org/rspo-and-hcvn-to-advance-high-conservation-value-protection-for-sustainable-palm-oil/>
- RSPO. (2024c). Why Sustainable Palm Oil? Economic and Social Impact. RSPO News. <https://rspo.org/why-sustainable-palm-oil/economic-and-social-impact/>
- RSPO. (2024d). Why Sustainable Palm Oil? Environmental Impact. RSPO Getting the Facts.
- RSPO. (2025a). Impact Report 2024. https://rspo.org/wp-content/uploads/RSPO_ImpactReport_2024.pdf

- RSPO. (2025b). 2024 RSPO Independent Smallholder Standard Effective; India and Indonesia National Interpretations of the 2024 RSPO ISH Standard Endorsed. RSPO Announcements. <https://rspo.org/2024-rspo-independent-smallholder-standard-effective-india-and-indonesia-national-interpretations-of-the-2024-rspo-ish-standard-endorsed/>
- RSPO. (2025c). Championing Women Leaders in Sustainable Palm Oil: A Conversation with Rukaiyah Rafik. RSPO Interview. <https://rspo.org/championing-women-leaders-in-sustainable-palm-oil-a-conversation-with-rukaiyah-rafik/>
- Sagala, D., Frimawaty, E., & Sodri, A. (2023). Potensi Energi Terbarukan dari Pemanfaatan Energi Biogas POME (Palm Oil Mill Effluent) sebagai Sumber Energi Terbarukan di Provinsi Jambi. *Jurnal Ilmu Lingkungan*, 22(1), 205–214. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jil.22.1.205-214>
- Sagala, D., Frimawaty, E., & Sodri, A. (2025). Discovering the potential of renewable energy from palm oil mill effluent: Environmental impacts, opportunities, and challenges in the development of biogas and bio-cng. *Bioculture Journal*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.61511/bioculture.v2i2.2025.1295>
- Sari, R. (2024). Study: Rewetting in Oil Palm Plantations Has the Potential to Reduce Emissions. *Konservasi Alam Nusantara: Press Releases*. <https://www.ykan.or.id/en/publications/articles/press-release/oil-palm-plantations-has-the-potential-to-reduce-emissions/>
- Sayaza, M. D., & Stephanie, E. N. (2025). Rural Livelihood Diversification and Economic Adaptation in East Kalimantan: Evidence from Six Village Communities. *J-RELIBI: Jurnal Rekayasa Lingkungan Dan Biosistem*, 3(1), 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.32832/relibi.v3i1.1725>
- Scriven, S. A., Butarbutar, R. B., de Vos, R. E., Lucey, J. M., Reynolds, G., Newing, H., Scholtz, O., Lasmana, F. P. S., Meijaard, E., & Hill, J. K. (2026). Addressing the challenges of managing and monitoring biodiversity in High Conservation Value areas and High Carbon Stock forests within oil palm landscapes. *Ecological Solutions and Evidence*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2688-8319.70162>
- Setiawan, A. F., Haryanto, A., Hasanudin, U., Triyono, S., & Iryani, D. A. (2025). Biogas Production from Palm Oil Mill Effluent and the Prospect of Co-digestion with Empty Fruit Bunches – A Comprehensive Review. *Jurnal Teknik Pertanian Lampung (Journal of Agricultural Engineering)*, 14(5), 1976–2005. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jtepl.v14i5.1976-2005>
- Setiyanto, A. (2024). Assessing the implications of implementing European Union countries' anti-deforestation regulations on Indonesia's palm oil industry. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1308(1), 012066. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1308/1/012066>

- Sharma, D. (2025). Rethinking Supply Chains: Investing in Smallholders for Sustainability and Resilience. *Musim Mas Resources*. <https://www.musimmas.com/resources/blogs/rethinking-supply-chains-investing-in-smallholders-for-sustainability-and-resilience/>
- Siagian, U. W. R., Wenten, I. G., & Khoiruddin, K. (2024). Circular Economy Approaches in the Palm Oil Industry: Enhancing Profitability through Waste Reduction and Product Diversification. *Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences*, 56(1), 25–49. <https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2024.56.1.3>
- Sibhatu, K. T. (2023). Oil palm boom: its socioeconomic use and abuse. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7(June), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1083022>
- Soleha, N. (2022). Sustainability Strategies and Stakeholders' Influence in The Palm Oil Industry. *Journal of Applied Business, Taxation and Economics Research*, 1(5), 494–505. <https://doi.org/10.54408/jabter.v1i5.94>
- Suhardjo, I., & Suparman, M. (2025). Harmonizing sustainability certification standards: the Indonesian palm oil case. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 28(1), 19–34. <https://doi.org/10.22434/ifamr.1218>
- Tabe-Ojong, M. P., & Molua, E. L. (2024). Oil Palm Production and Educational Outcomes: Gender-Differentiated Evidence from Cameroon. *Journal of Development Studies*, 60(4), 596–614. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2023.2273801>
- Tambi, N., Choy, E. A., Yusoff, H., Abas, A., Ummu, & Halim, L. (2021). Well-being challenges of palm oil smallholders community. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 18(2).
- Tarigan, S., Buchori, D., Siregar, I. Z., Azhar, A., Ullyta, A., Tjoa, A., & Edy, N. (2021). Agroforestry inside oil palm plantation for enhancing biodiversity-based ecosystem functions. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 694(1), 12058. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/694/1/012058>
- Unilever. (2024). Delivering deforestation-free palm oil through transformative technology. *Unilever All News Stories*. <https://www.unilever.com/news/news-search/2024/delivering-deforestationfree-palm-oil-through-transformative-technology/>
- Vamuloh, V. V., Kozak, R. A., & Panwar, R. (2020). Voices unheard: Barriers to and opportunities for small farmers' participation in oil palm contract farming. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121955>

- Veriasa, T. O., Nurrunisa, M., Oktaviani, A. R., & Fadhli, N. (2022a). Measuring the Implication of RSPO Certification: Lesson Learned from Independent Palm Oil Smallholder in Riau Province, Indonesia. https://www.wwf.id/sites/default/files/2024-02/2023_Measuring_Implication_of_RSPO_Certification_Implementation_English_Final_0.pdf
- Veriasa, T. O., Nurrunisa, M., Oktaviani, A. R., & Fadhli, N. (2022b). Menakar Implikasi Penerapan Sertifikasi RSPO: Proses Pembelajaran dari Pekebun Kelapa Sawit Swadaya di Provinsi Riau. WWF Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v8i1.26964>
- Wa Kuasa Baka, Usman Rianse, M. Tufaila, Ilma Sarimustaqiyima Rianse, & Zulfikar. (2023). Inovasi Model Kemitraan Usaha Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit Berbasis Budaya Lokal di Konawe Utara. *Journal Publicuho*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.35817/publicuho.v6i2.139>
- Wadudu, B. A. (2025). Empowering Women in the Palm Oil Supply Chain: Strategies for Leadership and Equality. In *Women in Logistics, Transport and Commodity Sector* (pp. 69–85). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-2276-4_5
- Widjaja, A. R., & Meylinda, S. (2021). Formulation of Poverty Indicators and Measurement of Community Welfare in Pagedangan Ilir Village, Kronjo District, Tangerang Regency. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, 1(1), 1–13.
- Yagur-Kroll, A., Horlings, E., Rees, E., Fleischer, L., & Dey, D. K. (2025). Guidelines on measurement of well-being: Note by the Task Force on measurement of well-being. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2025-06/Guidelines_on_measurement_of_well-being_2025.05.30.pdf
- Yusuf, M., Hutabarat, S., & Hadi, S. (2021). Analysis of The Implementation of ISPO Certification on Smallholder Oil Palm Plantation in Beringin Jaya Cooperation Koto Ringin Village Mempura Sub-District Siak District. *Journal of Agribusiness and Community Empowerment (JACE)*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.32530/jace.v4i2.247>
- Zarei, M. (2025). How to conduct a scoping review? A step-by-step guide with examples & tools. LitMaps. <https://docs.litmaps.com/en/articles/10536023-how-to-conduct-a-scoping-review-a-step-by-step-guide-with-examples-tools>