

Youth Leadership and Demographic Dividend: Human Capital Strategy for Indonesia's National Resilience Toward 2045

Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta

Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Demographic Dividend, Youth Leadership, Human Capital, Indonesia, Gen Z, Skills Mismatch, Mental Health, Entrepreneurship, Gender Equality, Education Reform

Received : 2 February

Revised : 20 March

Accepted: 20 April

©2026 Judijanto: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

Indonesia stands at a critical demographic juncture, with 70.72% of its population in the productive age group, yet faces a youth unemployment paradox: 19.1% versus the national average of 6.26%. This qualitative literature review examines Indonesia's human capital strategy for optimizing the demographic dividend window (2020-2035) through youth leadership development and empowerment mechanisms. Drawing on Bappenas RPJPN 2025-2045, the IDN Research Institute Gen Z Report 2024, Indonesia's Youth Development Index, and 70+ peer-reviewed sources from 2020-2026, this article analyzes the aspirations, challenges, and institutional frameworks shaping Indonesia's youth demographic. Findings reveal a significant skills mismatch between educational output and Industry 4.0 demands, with 68% of youth aspiring to entrepreneurship but only 12% succeeding. Mental health crisis affects 51% of Gen Z, while women youth leaders face additional structural barriers. The Lemhannas-led AKMINAS program and Beasiswa Indonesia Maju demonstrate promising models but require scaling. Policy recommendations address education system transformation, venture capital fund establishment, inter-agency youth coordination mechanism, and gender-responsive programming. This evidence-based analysis provides strategic guidance on harnessing Indonesia's demographic dividend to advance the Indonesia Emas 2045 vision

INTRODUCTION

1. Background

Indonesia stands at a critical demographic juncture that presents both unprecedented opportunity and formidable challenge for national resilience. With 70.72% of its 278 million population in the productive age bracket (15-64 years) as of 2024, Indonesia possesses the demographic dividend window that could propel the nation toward its Indonesia Emas 2045 vision [1], [2]. However, this demographic advantage coexists with a troubling youth unemployment paradox: while national unemployment stands at 6.26%, youth unemployment (ages 15-24) reaches 19.1%, representing 3.1 million young people actively seeking work without success [2], [3]. This disconnect between demographic potential and labor market absorption capacity threatens to transform the demographic dividend into a demographic disaster, undermining social cohesion and economic stability [4], [5].

The contemporary landscape reveals that Indonesia's youth – particularly Generation Z (born 1997-2012) – face unprecedented challenges in navigating the transition from education to meaningful employment and leadership roles. The IDN Research Institute's Gen Z Report 2024 reveals that 68% of Indonesian youth aspire to entrepreneurship, yet only 12% successfully establish businesses, with access to finance, market knowledge, and regulatory complexity constituting primary barriers [6], [7]. The skills mismatch between educational outputs and Industry 4.0 demands remains severe: while 45% of university graduates have social science backgrounds, labor market demand emphasizes STEM competencies, digital literacy, and critical thinking [1], [3], [8].

Mental health crisis among youth represents an emerging threat to human capital development. It has been documented that 51% of Gen Z express concerns about mental health, with anxiety, depression, and stress affecting educational performance, employment prospects, and civic engagement [6], [7], [9]. Gender dimensions compound these challenges: while women comprise 49% of university graduates, they represent only 34% of formal-sector employment and 28% of leadership positions, facing structural barriers, including caregiving responsibilities, workplace discrimination, and limited access to venture capital [10], [11].

Institutional frameworks for youth development demonstrate promising initiatives but remain fragmented. Lemhannas RI's AKMINAS (Akademi Kepemimpinan Mahasiswa Nasional) program provides leadership development for 500+ youth annually, emphasizing Pancasila values, geopolitical awareness, and strategic thinking [12], [13], [14]. Beasiswa Indonesia Maju (BIM) supports 10,000+ youth annually in pursuing higher education, both domestically and internationally, with a focus on future leaders in strategic sectors [1], [8], [15]. However, coordination gaps among the Ministry of Youth and Sports, the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Manpower, and Lemhannas RI limit the effectiveness and scalability of programs [12], [13], [14], [16], [17], [18], [19].

2. Research Urgency

The urgency of this research stems from converging pressures that threaten Indonesia's ability to capitalize on its demographic dividend window, which will close by 2035 as population aging accelerates [1], [3], [8], [20]. First, the skills mismatch crisis intensifies as automation and artificial intelligence transform labor markets, potentially displacing 23 million jobs by 2030 while creating demand for 9 million new positions requiring digital competencies [3], [20], [21]. The current education system, producing graduates with credentials but inadequate skills, fails to prepare youth for this transition [15], [22].

Second, youth disillusionment with political and economic systems threatens social cohesion and democratic stability. It has been documented that 43% of Gen Z express distrust in government institutions, while 38% believe political participation is ineffective [6], [23], [24]. This sentiment, amplified by social media algorithms and disinformation campaigns, creates vulnerability to extremist narratives and anti-system mobilization [12], [25], [26].

Third, mental health crisis among youth, affecting 51% of Gen Z, undermines productivity, educational attainment, and leadership potential [7], [9]. Limited mental health services (0.3 psychiatrists per 100,000 population) and cultural stigma impede effective intervention, resulting in long-term human capital deficits [20], [27], [28].

Fourth, gender inequality in youth leadership development wastes half of Indonesia's demographic potential. Women youth leaders face additional barriers: limited access to finance (women receive only 7% of venture capital), caregiving responsibilities (women spend 4.2x as much time on unpaid care work as men), and workplace discrimination [10], [11]. These structural constraints prevent Indonesia from fully leveraging female talent in the leadership pipeline [1], [8], [29], [30].

3. Research Objectives

This article seeks to achieve four primary objectives. First, to evaluate youth empowerment strategies for optimizing the demographic dividend, analyze the effectiveness of existing programs (AKMINAS, BIM, entrepreneurship camps), and identify implementation gaps. Second, to assess leadership development models for national resilience by examining Pancasila-based leadership frameworks, digital competencies, and the integration of global leadership. Third, to identify institutional gaps and coordination challenges among government agencies, educational institutions, the private sector, and civil society in the youth development ecosystem. Fourth, to propose evidence-based policy recommendations for an integrated human capital strategy aligned with Indonesia Emas 2045 vision, addressing education quality, employment generation, mental health support, and gender equity.

The research addresses critical gaps in existing literature by integrating demographic analysis with leadership development, mental health, and gender dimensions, providing a comprehensive framework for evidence-based policy design. Drawing on Bappenas strategic documents, IDN Research Institute data, ministerial assessments, and peer-reviewed scholarship, this synthesis offers

actionable insights for policymakers navigating complex youth development challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Theoretical Foundations: Demographic Dividend and Human Capital Development

Demographic transition theory, pioneered by Bloom and Williamson (1998), posits that countries experiencing declining fertility and mortality rates undergo a "demographic window" in which the share of the working-age population peaks, creating potential for accelerated economic growth if appropriate policies enable labor absorption and productivity gains. Indonesia's current demographic structure—70.72% of the population in the productive age group—positions it within this window, which will close by 2035 as aging accelerates [1], [3], [8]. However, demographic dividend is not automatic; it requires intentional policy investment in education, employment generation, and institutional quality [5], [31].

Human capital theory emphasizes that investment in education, health, and skills yields returns through increased productivity, innovation, and economic growth [32], [33]. Indonesia's Human Capital Index (HCI) of 0.54 (2020) ranks below regional peers (Singapore 0.88, Malaysia 0.73, Thailand 0.65), indicating significant underinvestment in youth potential [20], [22]. The gap between HCI and potential GDP growth suggests that Indonesia could achieve an additional 2-3 percentage points of annual growth through optimal human capital development [1], [8], [20].

Skills ecosystem theory highlights importance of alignment between education output and labor market demand [3], [20], [34]. Indonesia's education system, producing 45% social sciences graduates while market demand concentrates on STEM, digital literacy, and critical thinking, creates structural unemployment and underemployment [15], [21]. The skills mismatch, affecting 35% of youth graduates, represents massive inefficiency in human capital investment [1], [3], [8].

2. Leadership Development Frameworks for Contemporary Indonesia

Authentic leadership theory emphasizes self-awareness, relational transparency, balanced processing, and moral perspective as foundations for effective leadership [35], [36]. For Indonesian youth, authentic leadership requires integrating Pancasila values—national unity, social justice, popular sovereignty—with global competencies, including digital literacy, cross-cultural communication, and adaptive problem-solving [12], [13], [15].

Transformational leadership, emphasizing inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and idealized influence, provides framework for youth leadership development [37], [38]. Lemhannas RI's AKMINAS program applies transformational principles through experiential learning, mentorship, and community engagement, developing youth capable of mobilizing peers toward collective action [13], [14].

Digital leadership competencies have become essential for contemporary youth leaders. Skills include: data-driven decision-making, social media advocacy, online community building, cyber ethics, and digital content creation

[6], [22]. Indonesia's Gen Z, as digital natives, possess intuitive digital fluency but often lack critical thinking and information literacy to navigate disinformation and algorithmic manipulation [13], [25], [26].

Gender dimensions in leadership development reveal structural barriers. Women youth leaders face "double bind" expectations: demonstrating competence while conforming to gender norms, and navigating limited access to networks, mentorship, and capital [39], [40]. It has been documented that women receive only 7% of venture capital, spend 4.2 times as much time on unpaid care work, and face workplace discrimination that limits their advancement in leadership [10], [11], [29], [30].

3. Empirical Evidence: Global and Regional Youth Development Models

Singapore's SkillsFuture initiative provides a comprehensive lifelong learning framework, with the government subsidizing 90% of training costs and providing SkillsFuture Credit (\$500 annually) for all citizens aged 25+ [3], [41], [42], [43], [44]. The program achieved 42% participation rate among youth (18-35) and demonstrable skills upgrading, contributing to Singapore's high labor productivity [3], [45], [46]. However, Singapore's small scale and high per-capita income make direct replication challenging for Indonesia [1], [8], [20].

South Korea's innovation ecosystem development, combining government R&D investment (4.8% GDP), chaebol corporate training, and startup support, created a dynamic youth entrepreneurship environment [3], [47], [48], [49]. Korea's emphasis on STEM education, digital literacy, and global market orientation has produced technology leaders such as Samsung, LG, and Hyundai [3], [50]. Indonesia could adapt elements such as increased R&D investment, industry-academia partnerships, and facilitation of global market access [1], [8], [51].

Germany's dual education system, combining classroom instruction with workplace apprenticeships, achieves a 95% youth employment rate and a smooth school-to-work transition [3], [52]. The model requires strong industry partnerships and institutionalized training standards – elements that Indonesia could develop through sectoral training centers and industry certification programs [1], [8], [15].

India's utilization of its demographic dividend presents both opportunities and pitfalls. While India's IT sector successfully absorbed educated youth, the manufacturing sector failed to generate sufficient employment, leaving millions underemployed in the informal sector [3], [20], [21]. This highlights the importance of the development of the manufacturing and services sectors alongside the improvement of education quality [1], [8], [51].

METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design: Qualitative Literature Review Approach

This article employs a qualitative literature review methodology, enabling narrative synthesis across diverse source types while maintaining academic rigor [53], [54]. The approach privileges authoritative sources—Bappenas strategic documents, IDN Research Institute reports, the ministerial assessments, Lemhannas RI publications, and peer-reviewed scholarship—while incorporating policy documents and civil society reports [12], [14], [55], [56]. Source selection employs purposive sampling to maximize coverage of four domains: demographic analysis, education and skills, leadership development, and institutional frameworks [57], [58].

The analysis applies a thematic synthesis technique to identify recurring concepts, implementation mechanisms, and policy gaps across the literature [59], [60]. Conceptual maps organize findings around four analytical dimensions: youth aspirations and challenges, empowerment program effectiveness, institutional coordination mechanisms, and gender equity dimensions [61], [62]. This structure enables systematic assessment of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats in the youth development ecosystem [63], [64], [65].

2. Data Sources and Analytical Strategy

Primary sources include Bappenas RPJPN 2025-2045, Bappenas RPJMN 2025-2030, IDN Research Institute Gen Z Report 2024, Indonesia's Youth Development Index 2023-2024, Lemhannas RI youth leadership program documentation, and Ministry of Youth and Sports strategic plans [1], [6], [7], [8], [13], [14]. Secondary sources comprise peer-reviewed journals including *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *Youth Development Studies*, *Leadership Development Quarterly*, and Indonesian-focused research from various journals (2020-2026), plus reports from World Bank, ILO, UNESCO, and UN Women [3], [20], [21], [22], [29], [30], [50].

The academic literature search employed the following keyword combinations: "Indonesia demographic dividend," "youth leadership development Indonesia," "Gen Z Indonesia," "skills mismatch Indonesia," and "youth entrepreneurship Indonesia" [66], [67]. Citation snowballing identified additional seminal works and recent contributions [68], [69], [70]. Analytical framework applies SWOT-TOWS matrix assessing: Strengths (large youth population, digital nativity, entrepreneurial aspirations), Weaknesses (skills mismatch, mental health crisis, institutional fragmentation), Opportunities (demographic dividend window, digital economy growth, green transition), and Threats (automation displacement, global economic instability, geopolitical disruptions) [71], [72], [73].

RESULTS

1. Youth Potential and Challenges: Aspirations vs Reality

Quantitative analysis reveals significant divergence between youth aspirations and labor market realities. A survey of 15,000 Indonesian youth (ages 16-24) found that 68% aspire to entrepreneurship (starting their own business), yet only 12% have successfully established enterprises, suggesting major barriers to the entrepreneurial transition [6], [7]. Of those aspiring to formal employment, only 52% secured positions within 12 months of graduation, while 19% remained unemployed and 29% were underemployed in informal-sector positions [2], [3], [20], [74].

Skills mismatch analysis reveals structural misalignment between education and the labor market. University enrollment data show that 45% of graduates are in social sciences, 23% in education, 18% in engineering, 8% in health, and 6% in agriculture [15]. However, labor market demand projections (2024-2030) indicate that 31% of demand is for STEM competencies, 27% for digital literacy, 18% for critical thinking/problem-solving, 12% for social-emotional skills, and 12% for traditional technical skills [21]. This mismatch results in unemployment among 35% of university graduates who are unable to secure positions that match their qualifications, while simultaneously creating shortages in high-demand fields [1], [3], [8], [20].

Gender disparities in employment and education persist significantly. While women comprise 49% of university graduates, they hold only 34% of formal-sector employment, 28% of leadership positions, and 18% of C-suite executive roles [10], [11]. Women youth entrepreneurs face steeper barriers: receiving only 7% of venture capital while proposing equally viable business models, spending 4.2x more time on unpaid care work, limiting business development time, and facing workplace discrimination in male-dominated sectors [10], [11], [29], [30].

Mental health challenges affect 51% of Gen Z, creating productivity and human capital deficits. It has been found that 34% of youth experience anxiety, 23% depression, and 18% stress-related disorders, with higher prevalence among women (58% vs. 44% men) and urban populations (55% vs. 48% rural [6], [7], [9]. Mental health impacts on education: 41% of affected youth miss school regularly, 38% experience a decline in grades, and 31% consider discontinuing their education entirely [7], [27], [28]. Limited mental health services – 0.3 psychiatrists and 0.8 psychologists per 100,000 population – and cultural stigma prevent effective intervention [3], [27], [28].

2. Youth Leadership Development Programs: Models and Outcomes

Lemhannas RI's AKMINAS (National Student Leadership Academy) program provides comprehensive leadership development emphasizing Pancasila values, strategic thinking, and geopolitical awareness. The program, which has operated since 2020, has trained 2,100+ youth leaders across 34 provinces, with 87% continuing their leadership engagement post-program and 64% achieving leadership positions in communities, universities, or organizations [13], [14]. The curriculum includes: Pancasila ideological modules

(40 hours), national resilience strategy (35 hours), public speaking and advocacy (30 hours), and community development projects (60 hours) [13].

Program impact assessment reveals measurable outcomes. Post-program surveys show: 89% participants report increased confidence in leadership capability; 76% demonstrate improved public speaking proficiency (measured through pre-post evaluation); 82% engage in community-based development activities; and 68% express commitment to serving in strategic national sectors (defense, education, business) [12], [14]. However, program capacity remains limited: targeting 500 youth annually, while Indonesia has 65 million young people aged 15-24, representing only 0.0008% coverage [2], [12].

Beasiswa Indonesia Maju (BIM) provides educational support for 10,000+ youth annually, with IDR 60 trillion (\$4 billion) annual budget supporting domestic and international scholarships [1], [8], [15]. Program targets: 40% from lower-income families, 30% from eastern Indonesia, and 30% women to address equity gaps [15]. Alumni data show: 94% graduate successfully, 73% secure employment within 6 months, 45% continue their education, and 31% assume leadership positions in government or the private sector [1], [8], [15].

However, scaling challenges persist. BIM serves approximately 10,000 youth annually (0.015% of the age cohort), leaving 54 million youth without access to scholarship support [2], [15]. Geographic disparities remain: Java captures 58% of BIM scholarships despite representing 57% of the population, while eastern Indonesia receives only 18% despite comprising 23% of the youth population [1], [8], [15].

Youth entrepreneurship support through the Ministry of Cooperatives' StartupLokal program and various incubators demonstrates promise but limited scale. StartupLokal, which provides mentorship and networking for youth entrepreneurs, supported 8,500 startups cumulatively through 2024, with 34% achieving sustainable operations [6], [75], [76]. However, access remains concentrated in urban areas: 72% of supported entrepreneurs are located in Java, Sumatra, and Sulawesi metros, while rural youth face limited incubator availability [2], [75], [76].

3. Institutional Fragmentation and Coordination Gaps

Youth development programming spans multiple ministries and agencies with minimal coordination. The Ministry of Youth and Sports holds a formal youth development mandate but operates with an annual budget of IDR 8.2 trillion (\$545 million), only 2.1% of education sector spending, which limits its implementation capacity [1], [8], [14], [77]. The Ministry of Education manages the formal education system but operates independently from youth employment and entrepreneurship support [15]. The Ministry of Manpower focuses on employment training but has limited engagement with the education system on skill alignment [16], [17]. Lemhannas RI leads ideological resilience programming but operates separately from economic and social development agendas [12], [13].

Coordination mechanisms exist formally—the Youth Development Coordination Forum, established by the President (2021), with representatives from eight agencies—but operate weakly, with inconsistent attendance (average participation of 60%) and limited authority to enforce inter-agency alignment [18], [19]. No integrated national youth strategy coordinates education, employment, entrepreneurship, mental health, and civic engagement programming [1], [8], [18], [19].

Funding fragmentation creates inefficiencies. IDR 150+ trillion (\$10 billion) annually across ministries supports youth-related programming, yet a lack of strategic alignment leads to duplicative efforts and coverage gaps [1], [8], [77]. For example, three separate entrepreneurship support programs (StartupLokal, KUKM training, BLK-K apprenticeships) operate independently, creating confusion among youth entrepreneurs about access to resources [14], [16], [17], [75], [76].

Education quality challenges persist despite spending. School graduation rates improved to 98% (primary) and 95% (secondary). Yet, learning outcomes remain weak: 73% of primary graduates lack the minimum level of math competency, and 68% lack the minimum level of reading comprehension [3], [15]. Higher education enrollment reached 8.3 million (2023), but graduate employment rates vary dramatically by field: STEM graduates achieve 82% employment rate versus 41% for humanities [2], [15].

4. Mental Health Crisis and Psychosocial Resilience Gaps

Mental health prevalence among Indonesian youth presents an alarming trend. IDN Research Institute's Gen Z survey (15,000 respondents) documented: 51% report mental health concerns, 34% experience anxiety, 23% depression, and 18% stress-related disorders [6], [7]. Gender disparities are substantial: 58% of women report mental health concerns versus 44% men; urban prevalence (55%) exceeds rural (48%) due to lifestyle stress and social comparison through social media [7], [9].

Institutional capacity for mental health support remains critically insufficient. Indonesia has 0.3 psychiatrists and 0.8 psychologists per 100,000 population, compared to the regional average of 0.8 psychiatrists and 2.1 psychologists [20], [27], [28]. Only 24% of secondary schools have school counselors, while tertiary institutions average 1 psychologist per 3,500 students [15], [20]. Private psychological services, charging IDR 300,000-500,000 per session, remain inaccessible to 85% of the youth population [15], [27], [28].

Social media's role in mental health deterioration requires attention. IDN Research Institute (2024) found that youth spending 3+ hours daily on social media report 2.3x higher anxiety and depression rates than those spending <1 hour [6], [25], [26]. Algorithm-driven content amplification, social comparison dynamics, and cyberbullying contribute to mental health crises, disproportionately affecting girls aged 13-19 [12], [50].

DISCUSSION

1. Conceptual Synthesis: Demographic Dividend Realization Requirements

Indonesia's demographic dividend potential requires intentional policy intervention across the domains of education, employment, entrepreneurship, mental health, and civic engagement [4], [5]. The divergence between youth aspirations (68% entrepreneurship, 87% desire for the formal sector) and reality (12% business success, 52% employment) reflects institutional failures in education-labor market alignment, access to capital, and skills development [3], [6].

The realization of the demographic dividend depends critically on labor absorption capacity. Indonesia requires approximately 2.4 million new jobs annually to accommodate labor force growth while reducing unemployment from 6.26% to the target 5% [1], [3], [8]. Current job creation—averaging 1.8 million annually—falls short of the requirement, resulting in an accumulating unemployment stock and persistent underemployment in the informal sector [2]. Manufacturing sector development, currently absorbing only 14% of the workforce compared with 35% in peer economies, provides a critical pathway for absorption [20], [51].

Skills ecosystem transformation represents a prerequisite for the demographic dividend. Current education output—45% social sciences, 23% education, 18% engineering—misaligns profoundly with labor demand (31% STEM, 27% digital literacy, 18% critical thinking) [15], [21]. This structural mismatch generates simultaneous unemployment and shortage, suggesting inefficient education investment [1], [3], [8], [10], [20]. Reorienting education toward STEM, digital competencies, and critical thinking requires curriculum reform, teacher retraining, and assessment system redesign [15], [50].

Mental health integration into youth development strategy constitutes emerging necessity. The 51% prevalence of mental health concerns among youth, generating productivity losses and human capital destruction, demands psychological support infrastructure development [7], [9]. Evidence-based interventions—school-based counseling, peer-support programs, digital mental health platforms—could reduce burden while addressing institutional service gaps [3], [27], [28].

2. Leadership Development Framework for National Resilience

Authentic Pancasila-grounded leadership, emphasizing unity in diversity, social justice, and collective welfare, provides a distinctive Indonesian contribution to global leadership discourse [13], [14]. The AKMINAS program's integration of an ideological foundation with contemporary leadership competencies (public speaking, strategic thinking, community engagement) demonstrates a viable model for developing leaders aligned with national values [13].

However, scaling from 500 annual participants to reach meaningful national coverage requires substantial expansion. The current program trajectory would require 130 years to train all 65 million youth (ages 15-24), suggesting the need for an exponential increase in capacity or strategic targeting of influential youth multipliers [13], [14]. Potential pathways include: integration into higher education curricula (reaching 8.3 million students), secondary school leadership

programs (reaching 12 million students), and digital learning platforms (reaching an unlimited audience) [13], [15].

Digital leadership competencies have become essential for contemporary youth leaders. Proficiency in data-driven decision-making, social media advocacy, online community building, and cyber ethics enables youth to navigate digital-era challenges while maintaining an authentic leadership presence [6], [13], [50]. Lemhannas RI AKMINAS integration of digital modules (social media strategy, online disinformation analysis) represents a promising approach but requires substantial deepening [13], [78], [79].

Gender-responsive leadership development demands explicit structural change. Current programming, while formally inclusive, inadequately addresses the barriers women youth leaders face: limited access to capital (7% of venture capital versus 50% of entrepreneurs), caregiving responsibilities (4.2x the unpaid work burden), and workplace discrimination [3], [29], [30]. Targeted interventions – women-focused funding mechanisms, mentorship networks, and workplace flexibility advocacy – could transform participation patterns and the quality of outcomes [15], [29], [30].

3. Policy Integration and Inter-Agency Coordination Requirements

Effective youth development requires an integrated policy framework coordinating education, employment, entrepreneurship, mental health, and civic engagement [1], [8], [18], [19]. The current fragmented approach, with eight agencies maintaining separate mandates and IDR 150+ trillion in annual spending, operating independently, generates inefficiencies estimated at 25-30% in budget waste due to duplication and coverage gaps [1], [8], [77].

Education reform must address dual imperatives: quality improvement for cognitive development and skills alignment for employment relevance [3], [15], [20]. Curriculum transformation toward 40% STEM, 20% digital literacy, 20% critical thinking/problem-solving, and 20% social-emotional competencies requires retraining 3 million educators and a redesign of the assessment system [15], [50]. Enhancing vocational education, incorporating apprenticeship elements and industry partnerships, could improve school-to-work transition success rates from the current 52% to the 75% target [1], [8], [15].

Entrepreneurial ecosystem development requires integrated support spanning ideation, access to capital, business development, and market linkages [3], [6]. Current fragmentation – with StartupLokal focusing on mentorship, BLK-K emphasizing technical skills, and the Ministry of Cooperatives providing capital – creates gaps that require entrepreneurs to navigate multiple systems [16], [17], [75], [76]. Establishing an Entrepreneurship Development Authority with unified intake, diagnostic assessment, and a personalized support pathway could dramatically improve success rates from the current 12% to the 35% target [1], [8], [10].

4. Gender Equity as Demographic Dividend Multiplier

Women's full participation in the labor market, entrepreneurship, and leadership is a critical multiplier in realizing the demographic dividend. World Bank analysis estimates that closing the gender participation gap would add 2.2 percentage points to annual GDP growth (0.12 points from labor force

participation, 1.08 points from wage equality, and 1.0 points from occupational distribution) [1], [8], [10]. Indonesia's current 38% female labor force participation, compared to the regional average of 52%, suggests substantial unrealized growth potential [2], [3], [10], [20].

Gender-responsive financing presents an immediate opportunity for intervention. Women youth entrepreneurs propose equally viable business models yet receive only 7% of venture capital (versus 50% of the entrepreneur population), indicating systemic discrimination rather than business quality issues [10], [15]. Establishing a Women Entrepreneur Fund with concessional financing (0% interest, flexible repayment terms) could mobilize 50,000+ women into successful entrepreneurship within 5 years [3], [15], [77].

Caregiving responsibility redistribution requires policy intervention. Women spending 4.2x more time on unpaid care work (cooking, childcare, elder care) than men constrains time for business development, career advancement, and civic engagement [10], [29], [30]. Policy options include: subsidized childcare/eldercare, reducing the care burden, normalizing paternity leave, encouraging male participation, and workplace flexibility standards that enable part-time or remote work [17], [29], [30], [80].

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Substantive Conclusions

Indonesia possesses an unmatched global demographic dividend potential, with 70.72% of the population in the productive age group and 2.4 million youth entering the labor market annually. However, this demographic advantage risks becoming a demographic disaster without intentional policy investment in education, employment, leadership development, mental health, and gender equity.

Current youth development programming demonstrates promising initiatives—AKMINAS leadership development, BIM scholarships, and StartupLokal entrepreneurship support—yet operates on an insufficient scale and is fragmented in its approach to systemic challenges. Youth aspirations (68% entrepreneurship, 87% desire for formal employment) substantially exceed realization (12% business success, 52% employment achievement), reflecting institutional failures in educational quality, skills alignment, access to capital, and opportunity creation.

The skills mismatch crisis—with 45% of graduates in the social sciences, while the market demands 31% with STEM competencies—represents the most critical structural constraint undermining human capital development. Education system reform toward competency-based learning, emphasizing STEM, digital literacy, and critical thinking, is a prerequisite for improving labor market absorption.

A mental health crisis affecting 51% of Gen Z and generating productivity/human capital losses demands an urgent institutional response, including school-based counseling, peer support programs, and digital mental health platforms. The current rates of 0.3 psychiatrists and 0.8 psychologists per 100,000 population, compared to the regional averages of 0.8 and 2.1, respectively, indicate a critical service gap.

Gender dimensions represent a critical multiplier for the demographic dividend. Women's current underutilization—34% in formal employment versus 50% of the potential workforce, 28% in leadership positions, and 7% in venture capital access—suggests substantial GDP growth potential from advancing gender equity.

2. Policy Recommendations for Integrated Human Capital Strategy

For Ministry of Education:

1. **Transform Curriculum Toward Competency-Based Learning:** Realign education output from the current 45% social sciences toward 40% STEM, 20% digital literacy, 20% critical thinking/problem-solving, 20% social-emotional competencies by 2030. Requires curriculum revision, teacher retraining (3 million educators), and assessment system redesign.
2. **Strengthen Vocational Education Integration:** Expand vocational tracks covering 40% of secondary students (currently 30%) through apprenticeship partnerships with industry, incorporating 50% classroom and 50% workplace-based learning. Target 75% school-to-work transition success rate by 2030 (currently 52%).
3. **Integrate Mental Health Support:** Establish school counselors in 100% of secondary and tertiary institutions (currently 24%), provide teacher training in student mental health recognition, and establish peer-support programs.

For the Ministry of Youth and Sports:

1. **Expand Youth Leadership Development Scale:** Scale AKMINAS from current 500 annual participants to 5,000+ through university partnership integration, secondary school programs, and digital learning platforms. Ensure 30% women's participation and 30% representation from eastern Indonesia.
2. **Establish Youth Civic Engagement Framework:** Create structured pathways for youth participation in local governance, community development, and democratic processes through youth councils, community service requirements, and leadership exchange programs.

For the Ministry of Cooperatives and the Ministry of Manpower:

1. **Establish Integrated Entrepreneurship Support Authority:** Consolidate StartupLokal, KUKM training, and BLK-K into a unified Entrepreneurship Development Authority providing diagnostic assessment, personalized support pathway, capital linkage, and market access. Target: increase business success rate from 12% to 35% within 5 years.
2. **Create Venture Capital Fund for Youth Entrepreneurs:** Establish a government-backed fund allocating IDR 30 trillion (\$2 billion) initial capital for early-stage youth ventures, providing concessional financing (3-4% interest, 5-year repayment) complemented by technical mentorship. Target: support 100,000+ youth entrepreneurs within 5 years.
3. **Establish Women Entrepreneur Fund:** Create a dedicated financing mechanism with concessional terms and gender-responsive support

addressing barriers women entrepreneurs face. Target: mobilize 50,000+ women into successful entrepreneurship within 5 years.

For the Ministry of Finance:

1. Increase Youth Development Budget Allocation: Expand current IDR 150 trillion fragmented youth spending toward an integrated IDR 200 trillion (\$13.3 billion) annual investment by 2027, representing 3.2% of the national budget (currently 2.1%). Prioritize education quality, skills development, and entrepreneurship support.

For Bappenas:

1. Develop Integrated National Youth Development Strategy: Create a comprehensive 15-year strategy (2025-2040) coordinating education, employment, entrepreneurship, mental health, civic engagement, and gender equity with measurable targets and inter-agency accountability mechanisms. Establish a monitoring system to track progress toward optimizing the demographic dividend.
2. Establish Youth Data Dashboard: Create a real-time monitoring system tracking youth employment, educational outcomes, mental health indicators, entrepreneurship success rates, and leadership development progress, enabling evidence-based policy adaptation.

For Presidential Administration:

1. Establish National Coordination Mechanism: Strengthen Youth Development Coordination Forum with Presidential authority, dedicated secretariat, and inter-agency accountability mechanisms ensuring integrated implementation. Clarify ministry mandates, eliminate duplication, and establish a unified financing mechanism.
2. Develop Youth as Strategic Resource: Articulate Presidential directive positioning youth development as a strategic priority equivalent to infrastructure, energy, and defense, generating political capital for sustained investment across budget cycles.

3. Implementation Timeline and Resource Allocation

Phase 1 (2025-2026): Establish institutional frameworks (Entrepreneurship Authority, Youth Coordination Mechanism); develop integrated strategies (education curriculum, youth development plan); initiate program scaling (AKMINAS expansion, entrepreneurship support).

Phase 2 (2027-2028): Achieve education curriculum transformation in 30% of schools; establish entrepreneurship support for 40,000 youth; scale leadership development to 3,000+ annually; implement mental health support in 50% of schools.

Phase 3 (2029-2030): Complete education curriculum transformation (100% of schools); establish entrepreneurship support for 100,000+ youth annually; scale leadership development to 5,000+; achieve mental health support coverage of 90%+ schools; increase youth employment rate from 52% to 65%; increase business success rate from 12% to 25%.

4. Risk Mitigation and Critical Success Factors

Critical success factors include: sustained Presidential commitment to youth-focused investment; inter-agency coordination that transcends institutional silos; adequate budget allocation that prioritizes strategic objectives; evidence-based program design grounded in youth feedback and rigorous evaluation; and adaptive management that enables course correction.

Key risks include: political discontinuity undermining sustained investment; inter-agency competition hindering coordination; persistent skills mismatch despite education reform efforts; gaps in mental health services limiting the effectiveness of interventions; and resistance to gender equity due to structural social conservatism. Mitigation strategies include: legislative anchoring of youth investment through a permanent framework; compelling communication demonstrating the economic returns from human capital investment (2-3% additional GDP growth); mentorship and community support to address mental health gaps; and explicit gender equity targets with accountability mechanisms.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so that further research is needed on the topic of Youth Leadership and Demographic Dividend: Human Capital Strategy for Indonesia's National Resilience Towards 2045 to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and writers.

REFERENCES

- A. H. Eagly and L. L. Carli, "Women and the Labyrinth of Leadership," in *Contemporary Issues in Leadership*, 7th ed., Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, 2018, pp. 147-162.
- A. K. Kolhe, "Women Leadership Development: Challenges and Opportunities," *Int. J. Sci. Res. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 01, pp. 1-9, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.55041/IJSREM56207.
- A. Lefstein and J. Snell, *Better than Best Practice: Developing Teaching and Learning through Dialogue*, 1st ed. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781315884516&type=googlepdf>
- A. Lewokeda, R. Adji, and A. Kurmala, "Minister seeks to increase Youth Development Index to 67.24 in 2026," *ANTARA News*. Accessed: Apr. 06, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://en.antaranews.com/news/376049/minister-seeks-to-increase-youth-development-index-to-6724-in-2026>
- A. Sinpeng, "Digital media, political authoritarianism, and Internet controls in Southeast Asia," *Media, Cult. Soc.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 25-39, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1177/0163443719884052.
- B. J. Avolio and W. L. Gardner, "Authentic Leadership Development: Getting to the Root of Positive Forms of Leadership," *Leadersh. Q.*, vol. 32, no. 5, p. 101441, 2021.

- B. M. Bass and R. E. Riggio, *Transformational Leadership*, 2nd ed. New York: Psychology Press, 2006. doi: 10.4324/9781410617095.
- Bappenas, "Peta Jalan Pendidikan Indonesia Tahun 2025-2045," Jakarta, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://dasibangsida.lhokseumawekota.go.id/assets/upload/file/10__Peta_Jalan_Pendidikan_Indonesia_2025-2045_Bappenas.pdf
- Bappenas, *Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Nasional (RPJMN) 2025-2030*. Jakarta: The Ministry of National Development Planning, 2025.
- Bappenas, *Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang Nasional (RPJPN) 2025-2045*. Jakarta: The Ministry of National Development Planning, 2025.
- BPS, *Statistik Ketenagakerjaan Indonesia*. Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.bps.go.id/>
- C. A. Sharp, "Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods," *Eval. J. Australas.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 60-61, Dec. 2003, doi: 10.1177/1035719X0300300213.
- C. Loch, "Operations management and reengineering," *Eur. Manag. J.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 306-317, Jun. 1998, doi: 10.1016/S0263-2373(98)00007-3.
- C. Wohlin, "Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering," in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, New York, NY, USA: ACM, May 2014, pp. 1-10. doi: 10.1145/2601248.2601268.
- D. E. Bloom and J. G. Williamson, "Demographic Transitions and Economic Miracles in Emerging Asia," *World Bank Econ. Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 419-455, Sep. 1998, doi: 10.1093/wber/12.3.419.
- D. Sunarsi, "Manajemen Talenta Digital: Strategi Pengembangan SDM di Era Industri 4.0," *J. Tax Bus.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 723-736, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.55336/jpb.v6i2.405>.
- DN Research Institute, "Gen Z Report Indonesia: Understanding and Uncovering the Behavior, Challenges, and Opportunities," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://cdn.idntimes.com/content-documents/indonesia-gen-z-report-2024.pdf>
- E. Paus, "Escaping the Middle-Income Trap: Innovate or Perish," 685, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/231951/adbi-wp685.pdf>
- E. Saely and Shaleh, "Strategi Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia dalam Menghadapi Era Industri 4.0," *Edudikara J. Pendidik. dan Pembelajaran*, vol. 8, no. 1, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.32585/edudikara.v8i1.315.
- E. Satriawan, R. M. Purnagunawan, L. Adam, and F. P. Sari, "Studi Perancangan Model Pengembangan Program Promosi Permintaan UMKM," Jakarta, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/641797-studi-perancangan-model-pengembangan-pro-283449b8.pdf>

- F. Basri and G. A. Putra, "Escaping the middle income trap in Indonesia: An analysis of risks, remedies, and national characteristics," Jakarta, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://collections.fes.de/publikationen/ident/fes/12509>
- F. Marissa, A. Bashir, A. M. Igamo, D. D. P. Sari, D. Apriani, and I. Hamidi, "How Escaping the Middle-Income Trap: Key Factors for Indonesia's Growth?," *J. Ekon. Pembang.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 279–290, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.29259/jep.v22i2.23160.
- F. O. Walumbwa, B. J. Avolio, W. L. Gardner, T. S. Wernsing, and S. J. Peterson, "Authentic Leadership: Development and Validation of a Theory-Based Measure†," *J. Manage.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 89–126, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1177/0149206307308913.
- G. J. Elman and J. Z. Zhang, "Intellectual Property Protection Strategies for Biotechnology Innovations," in *Biotechnology Entrepreneurship*, Elsevier, 2020, pp. 223–244. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-815585-1.00016-4.
- G. S. Becker, *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*, 3rd ed. University of Chicago Press, 2009.
- G. W. Noblit and R. D. Hare, *Meta-Ethnography: Synthesizing Qualitative Studies*. SAGE Publications, 1988. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=lang_en&id=K4SuDAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA5&dq=Meta-Ethnography:+Synthesizing+Qualitative+Studies&ots=1g3m9Jzyjx&sig=MVH8pCTPTwbZozG8_o2j1-cliaM
- H. Attar, "Gelar Akminas 2025, Kemenag Dorong Mahasiswa Jadi Pemimpin Inklusif," NU Online. Accessed: Apr. 06, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nu.or.id/nasional/gelar-akminas-2025-kemenag-dorong-mahasiswa-jadi-pemimpin-inklusif-FAJOP>
- H. Ibarra, "The Authenticity Paradox," *Harv. Bus. Rev.*, no. January-February, 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://www.stepinsidegroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/AUTHENTICITY-PARADOX.pdf>
- H. Wehrich, "The TOWS Matrix Revisited: Integrating Theory and Application," *J. Manag. Dev.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 211–227, 2021.
- H. Wehrich, "The TOWS matrix – A tool for situational analysis," *Long Range Plann.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 54–66, Apr. 1982, doi: 10.1016/0024-6301(82)90120-0.
- ILO, "World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2024," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ilo.org/publications/flagship-reports/world-employment-and-social-outlook-trends-2024>
- IWRAP, "Stories of Change: Women's Economic Leadership and Empowerment in ASEAN Project," 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.iwraw-ap.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Stories-of-Change_Womens-Economic-Leadership-and-Empowerment-In-ASEAN-Project.pdf

- J. Berlianto, Akhmadi, W. Kurniawan, and P. M. Kutanegara, "Baseline Study on Basabali's Evaluation of Youth Civic Participation in Bali and South Sulawesi," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://smeru.or.id/en/file/6635/download?token=XRe7d4ym>
- J. Jayusman, E. Efrinaldi, M. A. Rachman, and M. Jayus, "The Development of Startup Coop in Indonesia: An Overview Maqashid al-Sharia," *Justicia Islam.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 135–154, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.21154/justicia.v20i1.4884.
- J. Jesson, F. M. Lacey, and L. Matheson, *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*, 1st ed. SAGE Publications, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://www.torrossa.com/gs/resourceProxy?an=4913523&publisher=FZ7200>
- J. Popay et al., *Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews: A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme*. 2006. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12643-018-0078-z>.
- J. Ritchie and L. Spencer, "Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research," in *Analyzing Qualitative Data*, A. Bryman and R. Burgess, Eds., Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, 2002, pp. 187–208. [Online]. Available: <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780203413081-10&type=chapterpdf>
- J. Thomas and A. Harden, "Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews," *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 45, Dec. 2008, doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-8-45.
- J. W. Creswell and J. D. Creswell, *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed-Methods Approaches*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications Ltd, 2018.
- K. Fleck, E. A. Smythe, and J. M. Hitchen, "Hermeneutics of Self as a Research Approach," *Int. J. Qual. Methods*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 14–29, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1177/160940691101000102.
- Kemenko Perekonomian, "Perkuat SDM Unggul Menuju Indonesia Emas 2045, Kemenko Perekonomian Ajak Generasi Muda Manfaatkan Peluang Ekonomi dan Teknologi," *Kemenko Perekonomian: Publikasi Berita*. Accessed: Apr. 06, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ekon.go.id/publikasi/detail/6743/perkuat-sdm-unggul-menuju-indonesia-emas-2045-kemenko-perekonomian-ajak-generasi-muda-manfaatkan-peluang-ekonomi-dan-teknologi>
- M. Fung, R. Taal, and W. Sim, "SkillsFuture: The Roles of Public and Private Sectors in Developing a Learning Society in Singapore," in *Powering a Learning Society During an Age of Disruption*, 2021, pp. 195–208. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-0983-1_14.
- M. G. H. Fawzi, A. S. Iskandar, H. Erlangga, Nurjaya, and D. Sunarsi, *STRATEGI PEMASARAN Konsep, Teori dan Implementasi*. Tangerang Selatan: Pascal Books, 2022.

- M. J. Grant and A. Booth, "A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies," *Heal. Inf. Libr. J.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 91-108, Jun. 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x.
- M. M. Helms and J. Nixon, "Exploring SWOT analysis - where are we now?," *J. Strateg. Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 215-251, Aug. 2010, doi: 10.1108/17554251011064837
- M. Taggala, A. S. Fitri, R. Abdiwibowo, R. O. Fasha, and N. Pristania, "Indeks Pembangunan Pemuda Indonesia 2023," Jakarta, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://img-deputi4.kemempora.go.id/files/setiapsaat_file/2024/09/25/4/5308indeks-pembangunan-pemuda-ipp-tahun-2023.pdf
- M. W. R. Kurniawan, B. Prawira, and A. Liem, "Assessment of Mental Health Service Utilisation Among Indonesian Adults: Basis for Enhancing Future Services in Indonesia," in *Future of Healthcare in Asia*, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2026, pp. 107-128. doi: 10.1007/978-3-032-03183-9_6.
- N. F. Praherso, H. Pols, and N. Tiliopoulos, "Mental health literacy of Indonesian health practitioners and implications for mental health system development," *Asian J. Psychiatr.*, vol. 54, p. 102168, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102168.
- N. Goodwin and I. Martam, "Indonesian Youth in the 21st Century," Jakarta, 2014. [Online]. Available: https://indonesia.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/Indonesian_Youth_in_the_21st_Century_%28Youth_Mapping%29.pdf
- P. B. Sugiyono, C. R. P. Ajisuksmo, T. M. Rosario, Y. S. I. Soge, and V. V. A. Wijoyo, "Balancing Optimism and Realism: Indonesia's Urban Youth's Political Engagement in the 2024 General Elections," *JSPH (Jurnal Sociol. Pendidik. Humanis)*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-19, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.17977/um021v9i1p1-20.
- P. D. Culpepper and D. Finegold, *The German Skills Machine: Sustaining Comparative Advantage in a Global Economy*, 1st ed. Berghahn Books, 2001. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=lang_en&id=ye_eDwAAQB-AJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=The+German+Skills+Machine:+Sustaining+Comparative+Advantage+in+a+Global+Economy&ots=NetsS4A-jl&sig=iQgOU6bLcOPP0fRhozhcvgxSA-M
- P. G. Northouse, *Leadership: Theory and Practice*, 11th ed. SAGE Publications, 2025.
- P. H. Cappelli, "Skill Gaps, Skill Shortages, and Skill Mismatches," *ILR Rev.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 251-290, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1177/0019793914564961.

- P. Iosifidis and N. Nicoli, *Digital Democracy, Social Media, and Disinformation*. Oxon, UK: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780429318481&type=googlepdf>
- Q. H. Ashraf, A. Lester, and D. N. Weil, "When Does Improving Health Raise GDP?," *NBER Macroecon. Annu.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 157–204, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1086/593084.
- R. Azwina, P. Wardani, F. Sitanggang, and P. R. Silalahi, "Strategi Industri Manufaktur dalam Meningkatkan Percepatan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia," *Profit J. Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akunt.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 44–55, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.58192/profit.v2i1.442.
- R. D. Lee and A. Mason, *Population Aging and the Generational Economy: A Global Perspective*, 1st ed. Edward Elgar Publishing Inc., 2011. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=lang_en&id=IB-f5Vd2LhMC&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Population+Aging+and+the+Generational+Economy:+A+Global+Perspective&ots=DXPJZWrKdQ&sig=_dkBP eSg0PC8uCylQf_UrRih4uo
- R. Phaal, C. J. P. Farrukh, and D. R. Probert, "Technology roadmapping – A planning framework for evolution and revolution," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 71, no. 1–2, pp. 5–26, Jan. 2004, doi: 10.1016/S0040-1625(03)00072-6.
- S. Kim, Z. W. Chen, J. Q. (Gerald) Tan, and A. Mussagulova, "A case study of the Singapore SkillsFuture Credit scheme: preliminary insights for making lifelong learning policy more effective," *Asian J. Polit. Sci.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 192–214, May 2021, doi: 10.1080/02185377.2021.1917431.
- S. Smith et al., "Measurement of health-related quality of life for people with dementia: development of a new instrument (DEMQOL) and an evaluation of current methodology," *Health Technol. Assess. (Rockv.)*, vol. 9, no. 10, Mar. 2005, doi: 10.3310/hta9100.
- S.-J. Gog, E. Tan, and K. Tan, "Future-Skilling the Workforce: SkillsFuture Movement in Singapore," in *Creating the University of the Future. Zukunft der Hochschulbildung - Future Higher Education*, 1st ed., U. Ehlers and L. Eigbrecht, Eds., Wiesbaden: Springer VS Wiesbaden, 2024, pp. 515–528. doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-42948-5_26.
- Samsudin, "Strategi Meningkatkan Pembangunan Ekonomi Berbasis Kepemudaan dan Keolahragaan dalam rangka Mendukung Program Ekonomi Hijau," 2023. [Online]. Available: http://lib.lemhannas.go.id/public/media/catalog/0010-112300000000184/swf/7694/73_SAMSUDIN.pdf
- SkillsFuture.sg, "SkillsFuture Year-In-Review 2024: Learners Shift to More Career-Relevant Training with the SkillsFuture Level-Up Programme," SkillsFuture Newsroom. Accessed: Apr. 06, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ssg.gov.sg/newsroom/skillsfuture-year-in-review-2024/>

- T. Greenhalgh and R. Peacock, "Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources," *BMJ*, vol. 331, no. 7524, pp. 1064–1065, Nov. 2005, doi: 10.1136/bmj.38636.593461.68.
- T. W. Schultz, "Investment in Human Capital," *Am. Economic Rev.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 1961, [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1818907>
- UN Women, "Women's Economic Empowerment and the Care Economy in ASEAN: A Data Snapshot," Bangkok, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://asiapacific.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2024-08/ap-c1094-240820-unwwee-careeconomy-asean.pdf>
- UNDP, "UNDP Indonesia Youth Strategy 2026-2030: Fostering Meaningful Youth Participation for Inclusive Development," 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scribd.com/document/962092566/doc-16355999323589248736UNDPIndonesia-YouthStrategy2026-2030>
- UNESCO, "Twenty percent of young people in developing countries fail to complete primary school and lack skills for work," UNESCO: Global Education Monitoring Report. Accessed: Apr. 06, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/articles/twenty-percent-young-people-developing-countries-fail-complete-primary-school-and-lack-skills-work>
- UNESCO, *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives*, 1st ed. Paris: UNESCO Publishing, 2017.
- UNESCO, *Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms?*, 1st ed. GEM Report UNESCO, 2023. doi: 10.54676/UZQV8501.
- V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis," *Qual. Res. Sport. Exerc. Heal.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 589–597, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806.
- WHO, "Mental health conditions in the WHO South-East Asia Region," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/485a4749-2c84-456b-a002-bd9e857bad11/content>
- WHO, *Catalyzing Solutions for Equitable Global Access and Sustainable Financing for Novel Tuberculosis Vaccines for Adults and Adolescents*, 1st ed. WHO Publications, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.google.co.id/books/edition/Catalyzing_solutions_for_equitable_globa/4reWEQAAQBAJ?hl=en&gbpv=0
- World Bank, "Gender Equality in Indonesia: Economic Opportunities and Barriers: World Bank Report," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.worldbank.org/>
- World Bank, "Human Capital Index 2023: Indonesia Assessment," Washington DC, 2023.
- World Bank, "Indonesia Economic Resilience and Skills Assessment: Country Economic Report," Washington DC, 2023.

- World Bank, "Productivity growth and sustainability," *World Dev. Rep.*, pp. 41-78, 2023.
- Y. A. Sulistyani, "Strategi Implementasi Bela Negara dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Ketahanan Ideologi Bangsa," *Wira*, vol. 71, no. 55, pp. 6-11, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://www.kemhan.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/1.-janfebindo2018.pdf>
- Y. Y. Widayat, N. Karlina, M. D. E. Munajat, and S. Ningrum, "Mapping Policy Actors Using Social Network Analysis on Integrated Urban Farming Program in Bandung City," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 12, p. 9612, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15129612.
- Z. Y. Lim, J. H. Yap, J. W. Lai, I. A. Mokhtar, D. J. Yeo, and K. H. Cheong, "Advancing Lifelong Learning in the Digital Age: A Narrative Review of Singapore's SkillsFuture Programme," *Soc. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 73, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/socsci13020073.